



Pillar 3 Disclosure

As at 31 December 2021



For purposes of this report, unless the context requires otherwise, “Rothschild & Co” means “the Rothschild & Co Group”, the “Group”, the “R&Co Group”, the “Company”, “we”, “us” and “our” refer to Rothschild & Co together with its subsidiaries.

This document should be read in conjunction with the Rothschild & Co Annual Report 2021, which has been published on the Group’s website www.rothschildandco.com.

Contents

Tables	2	8. Market risk and large exposures	34
1. Introduction	3	8.1 Overview	34
1.1 Regulatory framework for disclosures	3	8.2 Market risk management	35
1.2 Frequency and location	3	8.3 Large exposures	35
1.3 Regulatory developments	4		
1.4 Key metrics	5	9. Operational risk	36
		9.1 Overview	36
2. Risk management	6	9.2 Approach adopted	36
2.1 Overview	6	9.3 Operational risk management objectives and policies	37
2.2 Structure and Risk Governance	6	9.4 Advanced measurement approach	37
2.3 Risk Management Framework	7	9.5 Use of insurance for risk mitigation	37
2.4 Types of risk	7		
		10. Liquidity risk	38
3. Scope of application	8	10.1 Overview	38
3.1 Structure of the regulatory group	8	10.2 Governance	38
3.2 Regulatory consolidation	8	10.3 Liquidity risk management	38
3.3 Measurement of regulatory exposures	8	10.4 Liquidity Coverage Ratio	39
		10.5 Net Stable Funding Ratio	40
4. Capital and RWAs	12		
4.1 Overview	12	11. Remuneration	41
4.2 Regulatory capital	12	11.1 Remuneration policy, principles, practices and procedures	41
4.3 Pillar 1 capital requirements and RWAs	15	11.2 Decision-making process for remuneration policy	41
4.4 Capital buffers and eligible capital requirements	17	11.3 The link between pay and performance	42
4.5 Leverage	18	11.4 Design and structure of remuneration for Material Risk Takers	43
		11.5 Control functions	43
5. Credit risk	20	11.6 Remuneration expenditure for Material Risk Takers for the year ended 31 December 2021	43
5.1 Overview	20		
5.2 Credit risk policy and governance	22	Appendix I – Countercyclical capital buffer	44
5.3 Credit risk mitigation	22	Appendix II – Asset encumbrance	45
5.4 Non-performing and forborne exposures	24	Appendix III – Additional tables	47
		Appendix IV – Attestation statement	50
6. Counterparty credit risk	28	Appendix V – Index of disclosure requirements	51
6.1 Overview	28	Appendix VI – Glossary	56
6.2 Counterparty credit risk management	28		
6.3 Credit valuation adjustment	30		
7. Securitisation	31		
7.1 Overview	31		
7.2 Risk and regulatory framework	31		

Tables

		Ref.	Page
1	Key metrics template	KM1	5
2	Differences between accounting and regulatory scopes of consolidation and mapping of financial statement categories with regulatory risk categories	LI1	9
3	Main sources of differences between regulatory exposure amounts and carrying values in financial statements	LI2	11
4	Composition of regulatory own funds	CC1	13
5	Reconciliation of regulatory own funds to balance sheet in the audited financial statements	CC2	14
6	Overview of risk weighted exposure amounts	OV1	16
7	Amount of institution-specific countercyclical capital buffer	CCyB2	17
8	Leverage ratio common disclosure	LR2	18
9	Summary reconciliation of accounting assets and leverage ratio exposures	LR1	19
10	Split-up of on balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives, SFTs and exempted exposures)	LR3	19
11	Standardised approach	CR5	21
12	CRM techniques overview: Disclosure of the use of credit risk mitigation techniques	CR3	22
13	Standardised approach – Credit risk exposure and CRM effects	CR4	23
14	Performing and non-performing exposures and related provisions	CR1	25
15	Credit quality of performing and non-performing exposures by past due days	CQ3	26
16	Template EU CR2: Changes in the stock of non-performing loans and advances	CR2	27
17	Performing and non-performing exposures and related provisions	CR1-A	27
18	Credit quality of forborne exposures	CQ1	27
19	Analysis of CCR exposure by approach	CCR1	29
20	Standardised approach – CCR exposures by regulatory exposure class and risk weights	CCR3	29
21	Composition of collateral for CCR exposures	CCR5	30
22	Transactions subject to own funds requirements for CVA risk	CCR2	30
23	Securitisation exposures in the non-trading book	SEC1	32
24	Securitisation exposures in the non-trading book and associated regulatory capital requirements – institution acting as originator or as sponsor	SEC3	32
25	Securitisation exposures in the non-trading book and associated regulatory capital requirements – institution acting as investor	SEC4	33
26	Exposures securitised by the institution – Exposures in default and specific credit risk adjustments	SEC5	33
27	Market risk under the standardised approach	MR1	34
28	Operational risk own funds requirements and risk-weighted exposure amounts	OR1	36
29	Quantitative information of LCR	LIQ1	39
30	Net Stable Funding Ratio	LIQ2	40
31	Geographical distribution of credit exposures relevant for the calculation of the countercyclical buffer	CCyB1	44
32	Encumbered and unencumbered assets	AE1	45
33	Collateral received and own debt securities issued	AE2	46
34	Sources of encumbrance	AE3	46
35	Main features of regulatory own funds instruments and eligible liabilities instruments	CCA	47
36	Remuneration awarded for the financial year	REM1	48
37	Special payments to staff whose professional activities have a material impact on institutions' risk profile (identified staff)	REM2	48
38	Deferred remuneration	REM3	49
39	Remuneration of €1 million or more per year	REM4	49
40	Information on remuneration of staff whose professional activities have a material impact on institutions' risk profile (identified staff)	REM5	49

1. Introduction

1.1 Regulatory framework for disclosures

This Report provides Pillar 3 disclosures for the consolidated Rothschild & Co Group in accordance with the international regulatory framework established by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, also known as Basel 3. In the European Union (“EU”), the Basel framework is implemented by the amended versions of Regulation (EU) 575/2013 on prudential requirements (Capital Requirements Regulation or “CRR”) and the Directive (EU) 2013/36 on access to the activity and the prudential supervision (Capital Requirements Directive or “CRD”).

The Basel framework is structured around three pillars: The Pillar 1 minimum capital requirements and Pillar 2 supervisory review process are complemented by Pillar 3 market discipline. The Pillar 3 standards aim to improve comparability and consistency of disclosures through the introduction of harmonised templates, mainly covering risk, capital, leverage, and liquidity.

This report comprises both quantitative and qualitative information required by Part Eight of CRR. Recently, further guidance has been provided by the European Banking Authority (“EBA”) in its new technical standards⁽¹⁾ on public disclosures. The key goal of the new guidance is to promote consistency and comparability of the Pillar 3 disclosures across banks by defining prescribed templates. As a result, significant changes have been made to the tables disclosed in this report compared to previous reports, for which descriptive text explains the content of the information provided in these tables. These disclosures were verified internally in line with the Group’s policy on Pillar 3 disclosure controls and procedures. The information provided in this Pillar 3 report is unaudited.

1.2 Frequency and location

The full set of Pillar 3 disclosures⁽²⁾ is published annually on the Group’s website www.rothschildandco.com, concurrently with the release of the R&Co Annual Report. Half-year reports also include regulatory information in line with the EBA guidance on the frequency of disclosures.

(1) The EBA guidance refers to “Final draft implementing technical standards on public disclosures by institutions of the information referred to in Titles II and III of Part Eight of Regulation (EU) No. 575/2013”, adopted as Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2021/637 of 15 March 2021.

(2) The list of CRR disclosure requirements is included in Appendix V with reference to the items disclosed in this report. For all aspects for which disclosure is required under Part Eight of CRR but that are not applicable to the Rothschild & Co Group, they are reported as “N/A” (not applicable).

1 Introduction

1.3 Regulatory developments

Capital Requirements Regulation amendments

The Basel Committee on Banking Supervision completed the Basel 3 reforms in July 2020 and the package is scheduled to be implemented on 1 January 2025, with a five-year transitional provision. CRR amendments comprising the first tranche of changes to the EU legislation to reflect the Basel 3 reforms including the changes to market risk rules known as FRTB⁽¹⁾, revisions to the standardised approach for measuring counterparty risk, revised regulatory treatment of software assets, changes to the equity investments in funds rules and the new leverage ratio rules. These amendments follow a phased implementation with significant elements that have entered into force in June 2021.

From June 2021, the following provisions are applied to the Rothschild & Co Group:

- minimum Net Stable Funding Ratio (“NSFR”) requirement: the text introduced a regulatory minimum related to the NSFR ratio. A 100% ratio must be met;

- minimum leverage ratio requirement: a 3% ratio must be met, measured by using Tier 1 capital;
- counterparty credit risk: the standardised method known as SA-CCR replaces the current mark-to-market method to calculate the prudential exposure for derivative transactions;
- large exposures: the main change concerns the calculation of the regulatory limit on Tier 1 instead of total capital;
- look-through approach (“LTA”): as a general principle, banks should apply a look-through approach to identify the underlying assets whenever investing in schemes with underlying exposures such as investment funds.

COVID-19

The Covid-19 pandemic has created an unprecedented challenge to the global economy. Central banks and regulatory authorities have responded to this challenge with several amendments to the risk-weighted assets (“RWA”), capital, and liquidity frameworks. In the EU, the relief package known as the “CRR Quick Fixes”, includes a series of early implementation of the beneficial elements

of the amendments to the Capital Requirements Regulation, together with other amendments to mitigate any undue volatility in capital ratios arising from the pandemic.

At 31 December 2021, the Group has not adopted the beneficial measures within the “CRR Quick Fixes” as the effects from the application are minimal.

Prudential measures for non-performing exposure

In April 2019 the EU published final regulations for a prudential backstop reserve for non-performing exposure, which will result in a Pillar 1 deduction from CET1 capital when a minimum loss coverage requirement is not met. This backstop is applied to exposures originated and defaulted after 26 April 2019.

At 31 December 2021, the prudential backstop was not triggered as the loan loss provision of the Group was above the minimum requirement.

(1) FRTB refers to Fundamental Review of the Trading Book, which is a set of proposal for the new market risk framework developed by the Basel Committee as part of Basel 3. The FRTB reporting requirements have entered into force in the EU from September 2021.

1.4 Key metrics

The following table provides key regulatory metrics and ratios as well as related input components as defined by CRR, including own funds, risk-weighted assets, capital ratios, leverage ratio, and liquidity ratios.

Table KM1: Key metrics

Ref.*	In millions of euros	Footnotes	31/12/2021	31/12/2020
	Available own funds	1		
1	Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital		2,276	1,850
2	Tier 1 capital		2,276	1,850
3	Total capital		2,276	1,850
	Risk-weighted exposure amounts	1		
4	Total risk-weighted exposure amount		10,707	9,201
	Capital ratios	1, 5		
5	Common Equity Tier 1 ratio		21.3%	20.1%
6	Tier 1 ratio		21.3%	20.1%
7	Total capital ratio		21.3%	20.1%
EU 7d	Total SREP own funds requirements		8.0%	8.0%
	Combined buffer requirement			
8	Capital conservation buffer		2.5%	2.5%
9	Institution specific countercyclical capital buffer		0.12%	0.16%
11	Combined buffer requirement		2.6%	2.7%
EU 11a	Overall capital requirements		10.6%	10.7%
12	CET1 available after meeting the total SREP own funds requirements		13.3%	12.1%
	Leverage ratio	2, 5		
13	Leverage ratio total exposure measure		18,392	15,370
14	Leverage ratio		12.4%	12.0%
	Additional own funds requirements to address risks of excessive leverage			
EU 14d	Total SREP leverage ratio requirements		3.0%	3.0%
EU 14f	Overall leverage ratio requirements		3.0%	3.0%
	Liquidity Coverage Ratio	3		
15	Total high-quality liquid assets (HQLA) (<i>weighted value – average</i>)		6,305	4,838
EU 16a	Cash outflows – Total weighted value		3,607	3,289
EU 16b	Cash inflows – Total weighted value		987	1,404
16	Total net cash outflows (<i>adjusted value</i>)		2,620	1,885
17	Liquidity Coverage Ratio		240.6%	256.7%
	Net Stable Funding Ratio	4		
18	Total available stable funding		11,236	n/a
19	Total required stable funding		6,522	n/a
20	Net Stable Funding Ratio		172.3%	n/a

- * The references in this table and other tables within this document identify the lines prescribed in the relevant EBA template where applicable and where there is a value.
- (1) Capital figures and ratios are reported using CRR fully loaded basis for capital instruments. The Group has not adopted the IFRS 9 transitional provisions laid down in Article 468 and Article 473a of CRR as the effects from the application are marginal.
 - (2) Leverage ratio is calculated using CRR endpoint basis for capital instruments.
 - (3) LCR is calculated as the rolling average of the twelve latest month-end measures rather than using the end of each period.
 - (4) NSFR is a new disclosure adopted in this Pillar 3 report, thus, in the report at 31 December 2021, the Group does not provide comparative information for the prior period.
 - (5) Own funds include the year-end profit after deducting foreseeable dividends calculated in accordance with regulatory rules. Capital ratios and Leverage ratio submitted to ACPR as at 31 December 2021 were respectively 18.2% and 10.6% as the second-half year profit was excluded from regulatory capital.

2. Risk management

2.1 Overview

The guiding philosophy of risk management in the Group is to adopt a prudent and conservative approach to the taking and management of risk. The maintenance of the Group's reputation is a fundamental driver of risk appetite and risk management. The protection of reputation guides the type of clients and businesses with which the Group will engage.

The nature and method of monitoring and reporting vary according to the risk type. Risks are monitored regularly with management information being provided to relevant committees on a weekly,

monthly, or quarterly basis. Where appropriate to the risk type, the level of risk faced by the Group is also assessed through a series of sensitivity and stress tests.

The identification, measurement, and control of risk are integral to the management of Rothschild & Co's businesses. Risk policies and procedures are regularly updated to meet changing business requirements and to comply with best practices.

2.2 Structure and Risk Governance

The Company's Manager Rothschild & Co Gestion SAS is the sole legal representative of Rothschild & Co, responsible in particular for establishing adequate, sound, and appropriate risk management processes in line with all legal and regulatory requirements.

The decision-making process of the Company's Manager relies on its Management Board (*Conseil de Gérance*), a collective body chaired by the Executive Chairman of the Company's Manager and composed of three Managing Partners, which aims to assist the Executive Chairman in fulfilling the duties of the Manager acting on the Company's behalf.

The oversight management and supervision of the Group are the responsibility of the Company's Manager, notwithstanding other Group companies' local requirements, including in particular: Group strategy and management, capital management, and risk management and control (including Group Policies). The Managing Partners of the Company's Manager sit at the Rothschild & Co Group Executive Committee ("GEC"). The GEC is co-chaired by two Managing Partners and acts as the senior executive committee of Rothschild & Co.

Internal control governance within the Group is effected through Rothschild & Co and onwards to the senior executive management committees for each of our businesses and the Boards of the principal operating entities. The internal control system of the Group is supervised on a consolidated basis by the Supervisory Board, assisted by its specialised committees. Rothschild & Co ensures, for the Company and the entities within the Group on a consolidated basis, the effective determination of the direction of the business and determines the regulatory capital; it has direct oversight of all Group entities in respect of internal control matters and considers all major strategic and other risk matters affecting all parts of the Group.

The GEC proposes strategic orientations to the Manager and assists the Manager in overseeing the implementation of the strategy across the Group and its operational management to ensure the proper and effective functioning of Group governance structures.

It notably reviews matters relevant to Group risk management and internal control, including operating policies and procedures, risk appetite, and the management of risk. The GEC is supported by several subcommittees including:

- the Group Assets and Liabilities Committee ("Group ALCO") reports to the GEC and is responsible for ensuring that the Group has prudent funding and liquidity strategies for the

efficient management and deployment of capital resources within regulatory constraints, and the oversight of the management of the Group's other financial strategies and policies, including some credit decisions;

- the Group Operating Committee is responsible for developing and coordinating to best effect the cross-divisional operations of the various businesses and support functions, by improving the efficiency of the Group's operations and ensuring better coordination and harmonisation of operational matters across the businesses; it is also responsible for the oversight of operational risk policies, including compliance and information security risk policies.

The Supervisory Board of Rothschild & Co is supported by four committees:

- the Audit Committee is a specialised committee of the Supervisory Board responsible, in particular, for reviewing the process for drawing up the financial information, reviewing the statutory audit of Rothschild & Co's annual accounts and consolidated accounts, reviewing the independence of Rothschild & Co's statutory auditors, supervising and reviewing the internal audit arrangements, and the effectiveness of the internal control systems;
- the Risk Committee is a specialised committee of the Supervisory Board responsible, in particular, for reviewing the material risks and the broad policy guidelines of the Group relating to risk management, particularly the limits which reflect the risk appetite presented to the Supervisory Board, and examining the effectiveness of the risk management policies put in place. These policies make up the structure underpinning the approach to managing specific categories of risk as articulated in the Group Risk Framework;
- the Remuneration and Nomination Committee is a specialised committee of the Supervisory Board responsible in particular for setting the principles and parameters of the Group's remuneration policies and determining the nature and scale of short and long term incentive performance arrangements that encourages enhanced performance and reward individuals in a "risk-based" manner for their contribution to the success of the Group in the light of an assessment of the financial situation and prospects;
- the Sustainability Committee is a specialised committee of the Supervisory Board responsible in particular for reviewing policies in place and objectives set by the Group in the Corporate Responsibility area and reviewing the Corporate Responsibility Report of Rothschild & Co.

2.3 Risk Management Framework

The Group has adopted a risk governance model that is applied across the Group and requires that all of our businesses and functions establish processes for identifying, evaluating, and managing the key risks faced by the Group. It is based on the concept of “Three Lines of Defence”.

This model distinguishes between functions owning and managing risks, functions overseeing risks and functions providing independent assurance. It is in the first instance the responsibility of senior management within each business in the Group to implement risk management systems and controls which comply with the Group Risk Framework.

The three lines of defence for identifying, evaluating, and managing risks may be described as follows:

The first line of defence

It is the responsibility of senior management in each of the Group’s business lines to establish and maintain effective risk management systems and to support risk management best practices.

The second line of defence

It comprises specialist Group support functions including risk, compliance, legal, and in some cases, finance and human resources.

These functions provide:

- advice to management at the Group level and operating entity level;
- assistance in the identification, assessment, management, measurement, monitoring, and reporting of financial and non-financial risks;
- independent challenge to the businesses;
- technical guidance;
- review of risk policies approved by Rothschild & Co Gestion; and
- objective oversight and coordination of risk activities in conjunction with other specialist risk-related functions within the operating entities themselves.

The third line of defence

It provides independent objective assurance on the effectiveness of the management of risks across the entire Group. This is provided by the Group’s Internal Audit function.

2.4 Types of risk

Credit risk

Credit risk is the risk of suffering financial loss, should any of the Group’s customers or market counterparties fail to fulfil their contractual obligations to the Group.

Counterparty credit risk

Counterparty credit risk is the risk a counterparty to a transaction could default before the final settlement of the transaction’s cash flows. It includes the following transaction types such as derivative instruments, securities financing transactions, long settlement transactions and margin lending transactions.

Operational risk

Operational risk, which is inherent in all business activities and includes information security risk, is the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people, and systems or external events.

Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Group does not have sufficient financial resources available to meet its obligations as they fall due. To mitigate the liquidity risk, the Liquidity Coverage Ratio (“LCR”) and Net Stable Funding Ratio have been introduced by the Basel 3 agreements. The objective of the LCR is to promote the short-term resilience of the liquidity risk profile of banks, while the goal of the NSFR is to reduce the funding risk over a broader time horizon.

Market risk

Market risk arises as a result of our activities in interest rate (including interest rate risk in the banking book), currency, equity, and debt markets and comprises interest rate, foreign exchange, equity, and debt position risk.

Other material risks

Other risks which are, or may be, material arise in the normal conduct of our business. Such risks, including residual risk, concentration risk, basis risk, intermediary risk, clearing and settlement risk, securitisation risk, model risk, business risk, pension obligation risk, capital planning risk (including the risk of excessive leverage), and reputational risk, are identified and managed as part of the overall risk controls and are taken into account in the Supervisory Board’s periodic assessment of capital adequacy.

3. Scope of application

3.1 Structure of the regulatory group

At the consolidated Group level, Rothschild & Co is supervised by the French supervisory authority (*Autorité de Contrôle Prudentiel et de Résolution* or “ACPR”), which receives information on the capital adequacy of and sets capital requirements for, the Group as a whole.

All banking subsidiaries of the Group are directly regulated by their local banking supervisors on an individual basis or, where applicable, on a sub-consolidated basis. At 31 December 2021, the banking subsidiaries include:

- Rothschild & Co Bank AG incorporated in Switzerland and supervised by the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority;

- Rothschild & Co Bank International Limited incorporated in Guernsey and supervised by the Guernsey Financial Services Commission;
- Rothschild Martin Maurel SCS, incorporated in France and supervised by ACPR; and
- Rothschild Martin Maurel Monaco, incorporated in Monaco and supervised by ACPR.

At 31 December 2021, these banking entities comply with their prudential commitments on an individual basis or sub-consolidated basis.

3.2 Regulatory consolidation

At 31 December 2021, the Group’s regulatory scope of consolidation which is used for the prudential reporting and disclosures is identical to the accounting scope of consolidation published in the annual report 2021.

3.3 Measurement of regulatory exposures

The Group’s Pillar 3 Disclosures at 31 December 2021 are prepared in accordance with the prudential rules set in CRR, while the annual report 2021 is prepared under IFRS standards. The purpose of the accounting balance sheet is to provide a point-in-time value of all on-balance sheet assets. The regulatory exposure value includes an estimation of risk and is expressed as the amount expected to be outstanding if and when the counterparty defaults.

The following tables show in two steps how the carrying values in the accounting balance sheet link to regulatory exposure at default (“EAD”). Table LI1 shows the comparatives between the carrying values of assets and liabilities under the accounting and regulatory scope of consolidation, and a breakdown of the regulatory balance into the risk types that form the basis for regulatory capital requirements. Table LI2 then shows the main differences between the regulatory balance and EAD by risk type.

Table LI1 – Differences between accounting and regulatory scopes of consolidation and mapping of financial statement categories with regulatory risk categories

31 December 2021 <i>In millions of euros</i>		Carrying values as reported in published financial statements	Carrying values under scope of regulatory consolidation ⁽¹⁾	Carrying values of items				
				Subject to the credit risk framework	Subject to the CCR framework	Subject to the securitisation framework	Subject to the market risk framework	Not subject to capital requirements or subject to deduction from capital
1	Cash and amounts with central bank	6,005	6,005	6,005	-	-	-	-
2	Financial assets at fair value through profit or loss	1,942	1,942	1,772	94	15	94	61
2a	<i>of which: trading derivatives</i>	94	94	-	94	-	94	-
2b	<i>of which: securitisation exposures</i>	76	76	-	-	15	-	61
3	Hedging derivatives	3	3	-	3	-	-	-
4	Securities at amortised cost	1,337	1,337	1,279	-	58	-	-
4a	<i>of which: securitisation exposures</i>	58	58	-	-	58	-	-
5	Loans and advances to banks	2,144	2,144	1,295	849	-	-	-
5a	<i>of which: reverse repos</i>	849	849	-	849	-	-	-
6	Loans and advances to customers	4,462	4,462	4,462	-	-	-	-
7	Current income tax assets	10	10	10	-	-	-	-
8	Deferred income tax assets	64	64	64	-	-	-	-
8a	<i>of which: rely on future profitability</i>	1	1	-	-	-	-	1
9	Other assets	802	802	680	-	-	-	122
9a	<i>of which: pension funds assets</i>	122	122	-	-	-	-	122
10	Investments in associates and joint ventures	18	18	11	-	-	-	7
10a	<i>of which: goodwill included in the valuation</i>	7	7	-	-	-	-	7
11	Property, plant and equipment	269	269	269	-	-	-	-
12	Right of use assets	188	188	188	-	-	-	-
13	Intangible assets	209	209	-	-	-	-	209
14	Goodwill	197	197	-	-	-	-	197
15	TOTAL ASSET	17,650	17,650	16,035	946	73	94	596
16	Financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss	99	99	-	-	-	-	99
17	Hedging derivatives	3	3	-	-	-	-	3
18	Due to banks and other financial institutions	512	512	-	-	-	-	512
19	Customer deposits	11,656	11,656	-	-	-	-	11,656
20	Debt securities in issue	13	13	-	-	-	-	13
21	Current tax liabilities	66	66	-	-	-	-	66
22	Deferred tax liabilities	52	52	-	-	-	-	52
23	Lease liabilities	212	212	-	-	-	-	212
24	Other liabilities, accruals and deferred income	1,393	1,393	-	-	-	-	1,393
25	Provisions	43	43	-	-	-	-	43
26	TOTAL LIABILITIES⁽²⁾	14,049	14,049	-	-	-	-	14,049

- (1) The amounts shown in the column "Carrying values under the scope of regulatory consolidation" do not equal the sum of the amounts shown in the remaining columns of this table for line items "of which: trading derivatives" as the derivative instruments in this column are subject to regulatory capital charges for more than one type of risk.
- (2) Shareholder's equity items are not reported among the balance sheet liabilities of this table as they are disclosed in table CC2.

3 Scope of application

31 December 2020 <i>in millions of euros</i>		Carrying values as reported in published financial statements	Carrying values under scope of regulatory consolidation ⁽¹⁾	Carrying values of items				
				Subject to the credit risk framework	Subject to the CCR framework	Subject to the securitisation framework	Subject to the market risk framework	Not subject to capital requirements or subject to deduction from capital
1	Cash and amounts with central bank	4,697	4,697	4,697	-	-	-	-
2	Financial assets at fair value through profit or loss	1,394	1,394	1,233	109	4	109	48
2a	<i>of which: trading derivatives</i>	109	109	-	109	-	109	-
2b	<i>of which: securitisation exposures</i>	52	52	-	-	4	-	48
3	Hedging derivatives	1	1	-	1	-	-	-
4	Securities at amortised cost	1,347	1,347	1,275	-	70	-	2
4a	<i>of which: securitisation exposures</i>	72	72	-	-	70	-	2
5	Loans and advances to banks	2,251	2,251	1,218	1,033	-	-	-
5a	<i>of which: reverse repos</i>	1,033	1,033	-	1,033	-	-	-
6	Loans and advances to customers	3,491	3,491	3,491	-	-	-	-
7	Current income tax assets	26	26	26	-	-	-	-
8	Deferred income tax assets	71	71	69	-	-	-	2
8a	<i>of which: rely on future profitability</i>	2	2	-	-	-	-	2
9	Other assets	597	597	578	-	-	-	19
9a	<i>of which: pension funds assets</i>	19	19	-	-	-	-	19
10	Investments in associates and joint ventures	17	17	10	-	-	-	7
10a	<i>of which: goodwill included in the valuation</i>	7	7	-	-	-	-	7
11	Property, plant and equipment	275	275	275	-	-	-	-
12	Right of use assets	197	197	197	-	-	-	-
13	Intangible assets	184	184	-	-	-	-	184
14	Goodwill	135	135	-	-	-	-	135
15	TOTAL ASSETS	14,683	14,683	13,069	1,143	74	109	397
16	Financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss	143	143	-	-	-	-	143
17	Hedging derivatives	6	6	-	-	-	-	6
18	Due to banks and other financial institutions	514	514	-	-	-	-	514
19	Customer deposits	9,873	9,873	-	-	-	-	9,873
20	Debt securities in issue	9	9	-	-	-	-	9
21	Current tax liabilities	44	44	-	-	-	-	44
22	Deferred tax liabilities	39	39	-	-	-	-	39
23	Lease liabilities	228	228	-	-	-	-	228
24	Other liabilities, accruals and deferred income	998	998	-	-	-	-	998
25	Provisions	122	122	-	-	-	-	122
26	TOTAL LIABILITIES	11,976	11,976	-	-	-	-	11,976

Table LI2: Main sources of differences between regulatory exposure amounts and carrying values in financial statements

31 December 2021 <i>In millions of euros</i>		Total	<i>of which: Items subject to</i>			
			<i>Credit risk framework</i>	<i>CCR framework</i>	<i>Securitisation framework</i>	<i>Market risk framework</i>
1	Assets carrying value amount under the scope of regulatory consolidation ⁽¹⁾	17,054	16,035	946	73	94
3	TOTAL NET AMOUNT UNDER THE REGULATORY SCOPE OF CONSOLIDATION	17,054	16,035	946	73	94
4	Off-balance-sheet amounts and potential future exposure for counterparty risk	1,975	1,723	252	-	-
8	Differences due to the use of credit risk mitigation techniques (CRMs)	(2,896)	(2,067)	(829)	-	-
9	Differences due to credit conversion factors	(419)	(419)	-	-	-
11	Other differences	(99)	(90)	(9)	-	-
12	EXPOSURE AMOUNTS CONSIDERED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES (EAD)	15,615	15,182	360	73	94

(1) The amounts shown in the column "Total" do not equal the amounts shown in the column "Carrying values under the scope of regulatory consolidation" in table LI1 as (i) items not subject to capital requirements are not included in this table and (ii) derivative instruments in this column are subject to regulatory capital charges for more than one type of risk.

31 December 2020 <i>In millions of euros</i>		Total	<i>of which: Items subject to</i>			
			<i>Credit risk framework</i>	<i>CCR framework</i>	<i>Securitisation framework</i>	<i>Market risk framework</i>
1	Assets carrying value amount under the scope of regulatory consolidation	14,286	13,069	1,143	74	109
3	TOTAL NET AMOUNT UNDER THE REGULATORY SCOPE OF CONSOLIDATION	14,286	13,069	1,143	74	109
4	Off-balance-sheet amounts and potential future exposure for counterparty risk	1,659	1,487	172	-	-
8	Differences due to the use of credit risk mitigation techniques (CRMs)	(2,370)	(1,406)	(964)	-	-
9	Differences due to credit conversion factors	(379)	(379)	-	-	-
11	Other differences	(38)	(19)	(19)	-	-
12	EXPOSURE AMOUNTS CONSIDERED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES (EAD)	13,158	12,752	332	74	109

Off-balance sheet items and Credit Conversion Factors

The Group applies a credit conversion factor ("CCF") to off-balance sheet items subject to credit risk which include undrawn portions of committed facilities and financial guarantees.

Potential Future Exposure ("PFE") for counterparty risk

For derivatives transactions, the Replacement cost intends to capture the loss that would occur if a counterparty were to default and were closed out of its transactions immediately. The PFE add-on represents a potential increase in exposure over a time horizon into the future.

Differences due to credit risk mitigation

Exposure value under the standardised approach is calculated after deducting credit risk mitigation whereas accounting value is before such deductions.

Other differences

At 31 December 2021, other differences relate to gold bullions held in own vaults which are assigned a 0% risk weight.

4. Capital and RWAs

4.1 Overview

Starting from 1 January 2014, the calculation of the Group's capital requirements takes into account the Basel 3 rules implemented in CRR and its subsequent amendments. These rules establish the methods for calculating the solvency ratio, which defines it as the ratio between the regulatory capital and the sum of the amount of risk-weighted assets for credit and counterparty risks, market risk, and operational risk.

The Group aims to maintain a robust capital level consistent with its risk profile, keeping significant regulatory capital headroom. Our capital management process culminates in the Group capital

plan, taking into account minimum regulatory requirements and internal capital buffer as defined in the Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process ("ICAAP"). For further details of the Group's approach to ICAAP, please see Section 4.4 of this report.

Within the context of the Group capital plan, each banking subsidiary manages its own regulatory capital and meets its local regulatory requirements. Subsidiaries that are not regulated under CRR on a local basis are subject to periodic review by the Group, as part of the regular budgeting and strategic planning process.

4.2 Regulatory capital

Rothschild & Co SCA as the parent company of the Group is the primary provider of equity capital to its subsidiaries and also provides them with non-equity capital where necessary. These investments are funded by Rothschild & Co SCA's profit retention and there were no significant obstacles limiting the movement of capital in the reporting period.

Regulatory capital is divided into three categories (Common Equity Tier 1 capital, Additional Tier 1 capital, and Tier 2 capital), which consist of equity and debt instruments, to which regulatory adjustments have been made.

Common Equity Tier 1 capital ("CET1")

The Common Equity Tier 1 capital instruments of the Group comprise the consolidated equity attributable to shareholders restated for the anticipated distribution of a dividend.

The main regulatory adjustments are as follows:

- adjustments to the value of instruments measured at fair value by applying the simplified approach;
- goodwill and other intangible assets, net of deferred tax liabilities;
- net deferred tax assets that rely on future profitability and arising from tax loss carry-forwards;
- defined benefit pension fund surpluses, net of deferred tax liabilities;
- securitisation tranches for which the Group has opted for the own funds deduction instead of a 1,250% weighting.

It is worth mentioning that at 31 December 2021 the Group has not adopted the revised regulatory treatment of software assets as the effects from the application are immaterial. Under the revised method, certain software assets benefit from a specific prudential amortisation allowing the application of a preferential weighting instead of a deduction from CET1 capital.

Additional Tier 1 capital ("AT1") and Tier 2 capital ("T2")

AT1 and T2 capital is mainly composed of subordinated debt instruments with prescribed characteristics under the CRR.

At 31 December 2021, the regulatory capital of the Group does not include any AT1 nor T2 capital.

Transitional arrangements relating to regulatory capital

Under CRR, the calculation methods for regulatory capital are implemented gradually until a certain defined date. Since 2019, items still subject to these transitional arrangements are subordinated debt issued before 31 December 2011 and IFRS 9 first-time adoption impact.

At 31 December 2021, the Group's regulatory capital is not impacted by any of these transitional measures.

Development of own funds

The Group's CET1 ratio at 31 December 2021 increased to 21.3% from 20.1% at 31 December 2020. CET1 capital increased during the year by €426 million, mainly as a result of:

- capital generation of €416 million relating to the year-end profit, net of anticipated dividend;
- a €46 million net increase from employees pension fund revaluations;
- foreign currency translation differences of €48 million; offset by
- a €76 million increase in capital deduction relating to intangible assets mainly arising from business acquisition; and
- a €8 million increase in treasury shares deducted from consolidated shareholders' equity.

Table CC1: Own funds disclosure

Ref.	In millions of euros	31/12/2021	31/12/2020
1	Capital instruments and the related share premium accounts	1,301	1,300
2	Retained earnings	1,096	928
3	Accumulated other comprehensive income (and any other reserves)	(30)	(86)
5a	Independently reviewed profits net of any foreseeable charge or dividend	492	107
6	Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital before regulatory adjustments	2,859	2,249
7	Additional value adjustments	(3)	(2)
8	Intangible assets (net of related tax liability)	(396)	(313)
10	Deferred tax assets that rely on future profitability excluding those arising from temporary difference (net of related tax liability where the conditions in Article 38-(3) are met)	(1)	(2)
15	Defined-benefit pension fund assets (net of related tax liability)	(117)	(17)
20a	Exposure amount of the following items which qualify for a RW of 1,250%, where the institution opts for the deduction alternative ⁽¹⁾	(66)	(65)
20c	of which: securitisation positions	(66)	(65)
28	Total regulatory adjustments to Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1)	(583)	(399)
29	Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital⁽²⁾	2,276	1,850
44	Additional Tier 1 (AT1) capital	-	-
45	Tier 1 capital (T1 = CET1 + AT1)	2,276	1,850
58	Tier 2 (T2) capital	-	-
59	Total capital (TC = T1 + T2)	2,276	1,850
60	Total risk-weighted assets	10,707	9,201
Capital ratios and buffers			
61	Common Equity Tier 1 (as a percentage of total risk exposure amount)	21.3%	20.1%
62	Tier 1 (as a percentage of total risk exposure amount)	21.3%	20.1%
63	Total capital (as a percentage of total risk exposure amount)	21.3%	20.1%
64	Institution specific buffer requirement (CET1 requirement in accordance with article 92-(1)-(a) plus capital conservation and countercyclical buffer requirements plus a systemic risk buffer, plus systemically important institution buffer expressed as a percentage of total risk exposure amount)	2.6%	2.7%
65	of which: capital conservation buffer requirement	2.5%	2.5%
66	of which: countercyclical buffer requirement	0.12%	0.16%
68	Common Equity Tier 1 available to meet buffers (as a percentage of risk exposure amount)	16.8%	15.6%
Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (before risk-weighting)			
73	Direct and indirect holdings of the CET1 instruments of financial sector entities where the institution has a significant investment in those entities (amount below 10% threshold and net of eligible short positions)	18	17
75	Deferred tax assets arising from temporary difference (amount below 10% threshold, net of related tax liability where the conditions in Article 38-(3) are met)	63	69

(1) At 31 December 2021, the total amount of securitisation exposures deducted from regulatory capital includes €5 million off-balance sheet commitments (December 2020: €15 million).

(2) The amount of Common Equity Tier 1 capital submitted to ACPR at 31 December 2021 was €1,945 million as the second-half year profit was excluded.

Table CC2: Reconciliation of regulatory own funds to balance sheet in the audited financial statements

In millions of euros		Ref.*	31/12/2021	31/12/2020
1	Cash and amounts with central bank		6,005	4,697
2	Financial assets at fair value through profit or loss	7	1,942	1,394
2a	of which: securitisation exposures	20c	61	48
3	Hedging derivatives	7	3	1
4	Securities at amortised cost		1,337	1,347
4a	of which: securitisation exposures	20c	-	2
5	Loans and advances to banks		2,144	2,251
6	Loans and advances to customers		4,462	3,491
7	Current income tax assets		10	26
8	Deferred income tax assets		64	71
8a	of which: tax losses carried forward	10	1	2
9	Other assets		802	597
9a	of which: pension scheme assets	15	122	18
10	Investments in associates and joint ventures	7	18	17
10a	of which: goodwill included in the valuation	8	7	7
11	Property, plant and equipment		269	275
12	Right of use assets		188	197
13	Intangible assets	8	209	184
14	Goodwill	8	197	135
15	TOTAL ASSETS		17,650	14,683
17	Financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss	7	99	143
18	Hedging derivatives	7	3	6
19	Due to banks and other financial institutions		512	514
20	Customer deposits		11,656	9,873
21	Debt securities in issue		13	9
22	Current tax liabilities		66	44
23	Deferred tax liabilities		52	39
23a	of which: deferred tax liabilities arising from pension scheme assets	15	5	1
23b	of which: deferred tax liabilities arising from intangible assets	8	17	13
24	Lease liabilities		212	228
25	Other liabilities, accruals and deferred income		1,393	997
26	Provisions		43	122
27	Total liabilities		14,049	11,975
28	Shareholders' equity		3,601	2,708
29	Shareholders' equity – Group share		3,133	2,303
30	Share capital	1	155	155
31	Share premium	1	1,146	1,145
32	Consolidated reserves	2	1,096	928
33	Unrealised or deferred capital gains and losses	3	(30)	(86)
34	Net income – Group share	5a	766	161
35	Non-controlling interests		468	405
36	TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		17,650	14,683

* The reference presented reconcile with the references as presented in Table CC1.

4.3 Pillar 1 capital requirements and RWAs

Pillar 1 covers the minimum capital resource requirements for credit risk, counterparty credit risk (“CCR”), equity, securitisation, market risk and operational risk. The Basel framework permits different approaches of increasing sophistication to the calculation of Pillar 1 capital requirements. These requirements are expressed in terms of risk-weighted assets.

Credit risk

The Group uses the standardised approach, for which the exposures are grouped into categories and standardised risk weightings are applied to these categories.

At 31 December 2021, 58.1% of the Group’s overall capital requirement relates to credit risk (December 2020: 58.7%).

Counterparty credit risk

From June 2021, the revised Basel method known as SA-CCR replaces the mark-to-market approach, known as the “Current exposure method”, to calculate the prudential exposure to the Group’s derivative transactions.

At 31 December 2021, 2.0% of the Group’s overall capital requirement relates to counterparty credit risk (December 2020: 2.0%). Credit value adjustments (“CVA”) are also assigned to this risk category.

Securitisation

The Group uses the external ratings-based approach (“SEC-ERBA”) under the EU Securitisation Regulation. Thus, the risk-weighted assets are determined by multiplying securitisation exposure amounts by the appropriate risk weights. Securitisation exposures that are directly deducted from CET1 are not included in the capital requirements.

At 31 December 2021, 0.7% of the Group’s overall capital requirement relates to the securitisation exposures⁽¹⁾ (December 2020: 0.5%).

Market risk

The market risk capital requirement of the Group is measured under the standardised approach, for which the market risk capital requirement is computed in a standardised manner and does not require a modelled treatment.

At 31 December 2021, 3.3% of the Group’s overall capital requirement relates to the market risk (December 2020: 3.2%).

Operational risk

The Group currently uses a combination of the basic indicator approach (“BIA”) and the advanced measurement approach (“AMA”) in determining the operational risk capital requirement.

At 31 December 2021, 35.9% of the Group’s overall capital requirement relates to the operational risk (December 2020: 35.6%).

Total risk-weighted assets were €10.7 billion at 31 December 2021, €1.5 billion above the year-end 2020 level. This is mainly attributable to i) €0.8 billion increase in RWA from credit risk, of which the main drivers were increases in lending and Merchant Banking investments, and ii) €0.6 billion increase in RWA from operational risk which relates to the increase of year-end income. In terms of counterparty credit risk, the RWA increased mainly due to the changed regulatory requirements for the RWA calculation.

The table below shows RWA broken down by risk types and model approaches compared to the previous period. It also shows the corresponding own fund requirements, derived from the RWA by an 8% capital requirement.

(1) The Group deducts securitisation exposures which qualify for a risk weight of 1,250% from CET1 capital. At 31 December 2021, the amount deducted from CET1 capital was €66 million. Including it, the securitisation exposures represents 8.7% of the Group’s overall capital requirement.

Table OV1: Overview of RWAs

In millions of euros		31/12/2021		31/12/2020	
		RWAs	Capital required	RWAs	Capital required
1	Credit risk (excluding CCR)	6,223	498	5,397	432
2	<i>of which the standardised approach</i>	6,223	498	5,397	432
6	Counterparty credit risk – CCR	219	18	189	15
7	<i>of which the standardised approach</i>	174	14	149	12
EU 8b	<i>of which credit valuation adjustment – CVA</i>	45	4	40	3
16	Securitisation exposures in the non-trading book (after the cap)	70	6	45	4
18	<i>of which SEC-ERBA (including IAA)</i>	70	6	45	4
20	Position, foreign exchange and commodities risks (Market risk)	349	28	291	23
21	<i>of which the standardised approach</i>	349	28	291	23
EU 22a	Large exposures	-	-	-	-
23	Operational risk	3,846	308	3,279	262
EU 23a	<i>of which basic indicator approach</i>	3,016	241	2,526	202
EU 23c	<i>of which advanced measurement approach</i>	830	66	753	60
24	Amounts below the thresholds for deduction	160	13	169	14
29	TOTAL	10,707	856	9,201	737

4.4 Capital buffers and eligible capital requirements

Capital buffers

The Group must always comply with the combined capital buffer requirement, which is defined as the total CET1 capital necessary to meet the following obligations:

- capital conservation buffer: this buffer aims to absorb losses in a situation of intense economic stress. The Group's capital conservation buffer is equal to 2.5% of the total risk-weighted assets;

- countercyclical capital buffer: This buffer aims to adjust over time to increase the capital requirements in periods when credit growth is accelerating and reduce them in slower periods. The Group's countercyclical capital buffer is 0.12% at 31 December 2021 compared with 0.16% at 31 December 2020.

The following table shows an overview of the Group's countercyclical rate and buffer requirements.

Table CCyB2: Countercyclical capital buffer requirements

<i>In millions of euros</i>		31/12/2021	31/12/2020
1	Total risk exposure amount	10,707	9,201
2	Institution specific countercyclical capital buffer rate	0.12%	0.16%
3	INSTITUTION SPECIFIC COUNTERCYCLICAL CAPITAL BUFFER REQUIREMENT	13	15

Pillar 2 Requirement ("P2R")

Pillar 2 considers any supplementary requirements for those risks and any requirements for risk categories not captured by Pillar 1. Any Pillar 2 Requirement would result from the evaluation exercise of the ACPR to determine the adequacy of mechanisms and capital of the Group.

At 31 December 2021, the Group is not subject to any Pillar 2 Requirement.

Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process

Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process is the process by which banks assess the adequacy of the capital with the internal measurements of the levels of risk. The Group conducts ICAAP to determine a forward-looking assessment of the capital requirements given the Group's business strategy, risk profile, risk appetite and capital plan. This process undergoes stress testing and is used to determine the Group's internal capital buffer.

The Group's ICAAP is reviewed and approved by the Rothschild & Co Risk Committee, the Rothschild & Co Supervisory Board, and Rothschild & Co Gestion.

Minimum requirement for own funds and eligible liabilities ("MREL")

A requirement for total loss-absorbing capacity ("TLAC"), as defined in the final standards adopted by the Financial Stability Board, came into effect on 1 January 2019. In the EU, TLAC requirements were implemented within the MREL framework, which came into force in June 2019.

MREL includes own funds and liabilities that can be written down or converted into capital resources to absorb losses or recapitalise a bank in the event of its failure. The framework is complemented with disclosure requirements.

At 31 December 2021, the Group is not subject to the MREL requirements.

4.5 Leverage

The Basel framework introduced the requirement of calculation, reporting, and publication of leverage ratio that is an additional regulatory requirement to risk-based indicators. The main objectives are:

- restricting the build-up of leverage in the banking sector; and
- enhancing the capital ratios with a further, simple and not risk-based measure.

The leverage ratio is defined as the capital measure divided by the total exposure and it is expressed as a percentage between the Tier 1 Capital and the total exposure, calculated as the sum of all assets and off-balance sheet items not deducted when determining the Tier 1 capital measure.

The Group aims to maintain a consolidated leverage ratio that is significantly higher than the 3.0% minimum ratio requirement set in CRR. The leverage ratio was 12.4% at 31 December 2021, up from 12.0% at 31 December 2020 due to an increase in Tier 1 capital, partly offset by an increase in exposure primarily due to growth in cash and balances with central banks.

The leverage ratio is calculated and monitored by the Group every quarter and reported to the Group ALCO and other governing bodies to ensure adequate monitoring of the risk of excessive leverage. The leverage ratio is a primary metric in the Group capital plan, as part of its commitment to preserving robust solvency ratios. It is regularly monitored to ensure that the ratio comfortably exceeds the minimum regulatory requirements. The capital plan includes a stressed leverage ratio as an additional metric, to manage the risk of excessive leverage in a forward-looking way, identifying how it will perform in a crisis scenario.

The leverage ratio of the Group is calculated according to the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2015/62 of 10 October 2014 amending CRR. The following table shows the Leverage Ratio at 31 December 2021 and the breakdown of the exposure by main categories.

The following table presents the constituents of the leverage exposure, the leverage ratio on a fully-loaded basis.

Table LR2: Leverage ratio common disclosure

<i>In millions of euros</i>		31/12/2021	31/12/2020
1	On-balance sheet items (excluding derivatives, SFTs, but including collateral)	16,704	13,540
6	(Asset amounts deducted in determining Tier 1 capital)	(583)	(399)
7	Total on-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs)	16,121	13,141
8	Replacement cost associated with SA-CCR derivatives transactions (i.e. net of eligible cash variation margin)	97	110
9	Add-on amounts for potential future exposure associated with SA-CCR derivatives transactions	263	174
13	Total derivatives exposures	360	284
14	Gross SFT assets (with no recognition of netting), after adjustment for sales accounting transactions	849	1,033
18	Total securities financing transaction exposures	849	1,033
19	Off-balance sheet exposures at gross notional amount	1,420	1,226
20	(Adjustments for conversion to credit equivalent amounts)	(358)	(314)
22	Total Off-balance sheet exposures	1,062	912
23	Tier 1 capital	2,276	1,850
24	Leverage ratio total exposure measure	18,392	15,370
25	Leverage ratio⁽¹⁾	12.4%	12.0%
EU-25	Leverage ratio (without the adjustment due to excluded exposures of public development banks – Public sector investments) (%)	12.4%	12.0%
25a	Leverage ratio (excluding the impact of any applicable temporary exemption of central bank reserves) ⁽²⁾	12.4%	12.0%
26	Regulatory minimum leverage ratio requirement (%)	3.0%	3.0%
EU-26	Additional leverage ratio requirements (%)	0.0%	0.0%
27	Required leverage buffer (%)	0.0%	0.0%

(1) The leverage ratio is calculated using CRR endpoint basis for capital.

(2) The Group has not adopted the ECB temporary relief in banks' leverage ratio.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the total assets in the published balance sheet under accounting and the total leverage exposure (Table LR1) and a breakdown of on-balance sheet exposures excluding derivatives, SFTs and exempted exposures, by asset class (Table LR3).

Table LR1: Summary reconciliation of accounting assets and leverage ratio exposures

<i>In millions of euros</i>		31/12/2021	31/12/2020
1	Total assets as per published financial statements	17,650	14,683
4	Adjustment for temporary exemption of exposures to central bank (if applicable)	-	-
8	Adjustments for derivative financial instruments	263	174
9	Adjustment for securities financing transactions (SFTs)	-	-
10	Adjustment for off-balance sheet items (ie conversion to credit equivalent amounts of off-balance sheet exposures)	1,062	912
11	Adjustment for prudent valuation adjustments and specific and general provisions which have reduced Tier 1 capital	(583)	(399)
13	LEVERAGE RATIO TOTAL EXPOSURE MEASURE	18,392	15,370

The following table shows, for exposures other than derivatives and SFTs, the breakdown by exposure class.

Table LR3: Leverage ratio – Split of on-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives, SFTs and exempted exposures)

<i>In millions of euros</i>		31/12/2021	31/12/2020
EU-1	Total on-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives, SFTs, and exempted exposures), of which:	16,704	13,540
EU-2	Trading book exposures	-	-
EU-3	Banking book exposures, of which:	16,704	13,540
EU-5	Exposures treated as sovereigns	6,366	5,039
EU-7	Institutions	2,759	2,196
EU-8	Secured by mortgages of immovable properties	872	753
EU-9	Retail exposures	237	226
EU-10	Corporate	4,113	3,335
EU-11	Exposures in default	12	18
EU-12	Other exposures (e.g. equity, securitisations, and other non-credit obligation assets)	2,345	1,973

5. Credit risk

5.1 Overview

Credit risk is the consequence resulting from the likelihood that a borrower or counterparty will fail to meet its obligations in accordance with agreed terms. The credit risk exposure of the Group primarily arises from its lending activity and private equity business. At 31 December 2021, credit risk represents the largest regulatory capital requirement for the Group (58.1% of the total RWAs at 31 December 2021).

The Group uses the External Credit Assessments Institution (“ECAI”) which are external rating agencies designated as eligible by the European Central Bank (“ECB”) for the calculation of

regulatory capital in accordance with the standardised approach. The agencies used for the capital calculation as of 31 December 2021 are Fitch, Moody’s, Standard & Poor’s and Banque de France. Under the ECAI method, different risk weights are applied to credit exposures depending on the rating assigned by the credit rating agencies. The assignment of risk weights according to credit ratings is compliant with the regulatory requirements, aligning the alphanumeric scale of each agency used with the credit quality steps, as follows:

Credit quality step by rating for credit risk SA

Credit quality step	Fitch	Moody’s	S&P	Banque de France
1	AAA, AA	Aaa, Aa	AAA, AA	3++
2	A	A	A	3+, 3
3	BBB	Baa	BBB	4+
4	BB	Ba	BB	4, 5+
5	B	B	B	5, 6
6	CCC, CC, C, RD, D	Caa, Ca, C	CCC, CC, C, R, SD/D	7, 8, 9, P

As of 31 December 2021, ECAI risk assessments are used as the determination of risk weightings for the following classes of exposure:

Risk weights by credit quality step for credit risk SA

Credit quality step	Central governments and central banks	Public sector entities	Institutions ≤ 3 months rated	Institutions > 3 months rated	Institutions not rated	Corporates
1	0%	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%
2	20%	50%	20%	50%	50%	50%
3	50%	100%	20%	50%	100%	100%
4	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%
5	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	150%
6	150%	150%	150%	150%	150%	150%

In accordance with the standardised approach, exposures to central governments and central banks of the European Economic Area states are risk-weighted at 0% provided that they are denominated and funded in local currency or qualify for that weight by virtue of their external rating.

The banking book securitisation positions, as well as derivatives and repurchase agreements exposed to counterparty risk, are excluded from this section and presented in Sections 6 and 7,

respectively. In accordance with EBA guidance on disclosures, equity exposures under the standardised approach are included in this section.

The main differences between the carrying amounts of the regulatory balance sheet and the risk exposure amounts used for regulatory purposes are presented in table LI1.

The following table shows the exposure at default values per regulatory exposure class assigned to their standardized risk weights.

Table CR5: Standardised approach – exposures by asset class and risk weight

31 December 2021														EAD	
<i>In millions of euros</i>		0%	20%	35%	50%	70%	75%	100%	150%	250%	Other	EAD	RWA	unrated	
1	Central governments or central banks	6,287	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	64	-	6,356	163	64	
3	Public sector entities	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	3	-	
4	Multilateral development banks	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	
6	Institutions	18	1,700	-	943	-	-	41	-	-	-	2,702	853	7	
7	Corporates	-	336	592	170	407	-	1,484	20	-	-	3,009	2,123	1,759	
8	Retail exposures	-	-	-	-	-	153	-	-	-	-	153	108	132	
9	Exposures secured by mortgages on immovable property	-	-	667	117	14	13	11	-	-	-	822	316	784	
10	Exposures in default	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	3	-	-	12	13	9	
11	Exposures associated with particularly high risk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,315	-	-	1,315	1,972	1,312	
13	Exposures to institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment	-	29	-	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	53	18	-	
14	Units or shares in collective investment undertakings	-	-	-	-	-	-	141	-	-	-	141	142	141	
15	Equity exposures	-	-	-	-	-	-	39	-	-	-	39	39	39	
16	Other items	98	-	-	-	-	-	472	-	-	-	570	473	569	
17	TOTAL	6,408	2,065	1,259	1,264	421	166	2,197	1,338	64	-	15,182	6,223	4,816	

31 December 2020														EAD	
<i>In millions of euros</i>		0%	20%	35%	50%	70%	75%	100%	150%	250%	Other	EAD	RWA	unrated	
1	Central governments or central banks	4,926	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	68	-	4,994	171	68	
3	Public sector entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
4	Multilateral development banks	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	44	-	-	
6	Institutions	12	1,512	-	692	-	-	-	2	-	-	2,218	652	6	
7	Corporates	2	307	399	149	336	-	1,407	1	-	-	2,601	1,912	1,512	
8	Retail exposures	-	-	-	-	-	154	-	-	-	-	154	106	126	
9	Exposures secured by mortgages on immovable property	-	6	623	69	3	16	10	-	-	-	727	272	690	
10	Exposures in default	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	3	-	-	20	21	16	
11	Exposures associated with particularly high risk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,053	-	-	1,053	1,580	1,050	
13	Exposures to institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment	-	203	-	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	278	79	-	
14	Units or shares in collective investment undertakings	-	-	-	-	-	-	101	-	-	-	101	101	101	
15	Equity exposures	-	-	-	-	-	-	24	-	-	-	24	24	24	
16	Other items	50	14	-	-	-	-	474	-	-	-	538	479	538	
17	TOTAL	5,034	2,042	1,022	985	339	170	2,033	1,059	68	-	12,752	5,397	4,131	

1. Central governments and central banks: exposures that are risk-weighted at 250% relate to deferred tax assets.

6. Institutions: exposures that are risk-weighted at 0% relate to settlement accounts on securities transactions.

6. Institutions: exposures that are risk-weighted at 100% relate to the supervisory regime of a non-EU country after Brexit.

8. Corporates, retail exposures, exposures secured by mortgages: risk-weighted exposure amount includes adjustment due to SME (Small and medium enterprises) supporting factor.

16. Other items: exposures that are risk-weighted at 0% include amount of guarantee deposits paid and cash in hand.

5.2 Credit risk policy and governance

The credit risk management process involves identification, assessment, control and decision-making concerning the credit risk incurred in our operations. It incorporates operational, customer and portfolio factors, together with a comprehensive view of the credit risk cycle.

The Group has a Credit Risk Policy which is reviewed annually by the Group ALCO and the GEC. In conjunction with the Group Risk Appetite Statement, the policy sets out its credit risk appetite, the limits that have been set at the Group level and establishes reporting protocols. It also requires each subsidiary that conducts banking activities to have a credit risk policy that is consistent with the Group Credit Risk Policy and with the requirements of local regulators.

Our policy is that all exposure to credit risk should be managed by detailed analysis of client and counterparty creditworthiness before entering into exposure, and by continued monitoring thereafter. For internal monitoring purposes, credit exposure on loans and debt securities is measured as the principal amount outstanding plus accrued interest.

Group Credit is responsible for the monitoring and reporting on the overall level of credit exposure across the Group, including private client lending, corporate lending exposures, Group lending and treasury counterparty credit risk. Group Credit works with the local credit teams in the banking subsidiaries to provide credit expertise and to assess and mitigate credit risk by implementing appropriate procedures for risk management, internal controls and reporting.

Group Risk is responsible for:

- providing an independent challenge as part of the credit process and monitors the effectiveness of the procedures and controls implemented by the credit teams;
- reporting on the effectiveness of these processes and any other matters it considers appropriate to the GEC and other relevant Group committees;
- reporting and monitoring on the lending exposure against limits and the risks arising from the Group's credit activities to the GEC and other relevant Group committees.

5.3 Credit risk mitigation

A significant proportion of the Group's lendings are secured by guarantees from a third party financial institution or by financial collateral in form of marketable securities. These credit risk mitigation techniques ("CRM") are also used in much of the Group's derivatives transactions and securities financing transactions, such as repos and reverse repos.

Where credit risk mitigation is available in the form of a guarantee or financial guarantee, the exposure is divided into covered and uncovered portions. Guarantees are applied by reallocating the covered portion of exposures to the corresponding asset categories and risk weightings of the guarantors. When the Group uses the financial collateral as a CRM technique, the valuation of this collateral

for the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts follows the Comprehensive method under CRR. The application of zero volatility adjustments is possible where the eligibility conditions are fulfilled, otherwise, the Group applies supervisory volatility haircuts (including currency mismatch adjustments), which are determined by the type of collateral, its credit quality and liquidation period.

The following table shows a breakdown of unsecured and secured credit risk exposures. The amounts of secured credit risk exposures shown in this table cover all credit risk mitigation techniques recognised under the applicable accounting framework regardless of whether these techniques are recognised under CRR.

Table CR3: Credit risk mitigation techniques – overview

31 December 2021		Unsecured carrying amount	Secured carrying amount	Secured by collateral
<i>In millions of euros</i>				
1	Loans and advances	1,822	4,842	4,842
2	Debt securities	1,373	-	-
3	TOTAL	3,195	4,842	4,842
4	<i>of which non-performing exposures</i>	80	-	1
5	<i>of which defaulted</i>	80	-	1

31 December 2020		Unsecured carrying amount	Secured carrying amount	Secured by collateral
<i>In millions of euros</i>				
1	Loans and advances	1,691	4,110	4,110
2	Debt securities	1,391	-	-
3	TOTAL	3,082	4,110	4,110
4	<i>of which non-performing exposures</i>	92	-	-
5	<i>of which defaulted</i>	91	-	-

1, 2. Loans and advances and debt securities in this table include these held at amortised cost and held at fair value through profit or loss.

The table below shows the credit risk exposure before and post credit conversion factors and credit risk mitigation obtained in the form of eligible financial collateral, guarantees and credit derivatives based on the exposure at default values in the

standardized approach as well as related RWA broken down by regulatory exposure classes and a split in on- and off-balance sheet exposures.

Table CR4: Standardised approach – credit conversion factor (“CCF”) and credit risk mitigation (“CRM”) effects

31 December 2021 <i>In millions of euros</i>		Exposures before CCF and before CRM		Exposures post CCF and post CRM		RWAs	RWAs density (%)
		On-balance- sheet	Off-balance- sheet	On-balance- sheet	Off-balance- sheet		
1	Central governments or central banks	6,337	-	6,356	-	163	3%
3	Public sector entities	5	-	5	-	3	0%
4	Multilateral development banks	5	-	5	-	-	0%
6	Institutions	3,020	13	2,694	8	853	12%
7	Corporates	3,980	454	2,711	298	2,123	35%
8	Retail exposures	420	292	122	31	108	2%
9	Exposures secured by mortgages on immovable property	866	10	819	3	316	5%
10	Exposures in default	19	1	11	1	13	0%
11	Exposures associated with particularly high risk	833	885	832	483	1,972	32%
13	Exposures to institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment	590	-	53	-	18	0%
14	Units or shares in collective investment undertakings	141	-	141	-	142	2%
15	Equity exposures	39	-	39	-	39	1%
16	Other items	553	31	555	15	473	8%
17	TOTAL	16,808	1,686	14,343	839	6,223	100%

31 December 2020 <i>In millions of euros</i>		Exposures before CCF and before CRM		Exposures post CCF and post CRM		RWAs	RWAs density (%)
		On-balance- sheet	Off-balance- sheet	On-balance- sheet	Off-balance- sheet		
1	Central governments or central banks	4,976	-	4,994	-	171	3%
3	Public sector entities	-	-	-	-	-	0%
4	Multilateral development banks	44	-	44	-	-	0%
6	Institutions	2,654	18	2,204	14	652	12%
7	Corporates	3,179	423	2,338	263	1,912	35%
8	Retail exposures	410	281	122	32	106	2%
9	Exposures secured by mortgages on immovable property	751	4	725	2	272	5%
10	Exposures in default	25	4	18	2	21	0%
11	Exposures associated with particularly high risk	615	696	595	458	1,580	29%
13	Exposures to institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment	754	-	278	-	79	1%
14	Units or shares in collective investment undertakings	101	-	101	-	101	2%
15	Equity exposures	24	-	24	-	24	0%
16	Other items	538	-	538	-	479	9%
17	TOTAL	14,071	1,426	11,981	771	5,397	100%

5.4 Non-performing and forborne exposures

CRR defines non-performing exposures as exposures with material amounts that are more than 90 days past due or exposures where the debtor is assessed as unlikely to pay its credit obligations in full without the realisation of collateral, regardless of the existence of any past due amounts or number days past due. Any of the Group's debtors that are impaired under the applicable accounting framework are always considered as non-performing exposures.

CRR defines forborne exposures as exposures where banks have made concessions toward a debtor that is experiencing or about to experience financial difficulties in meeting its financial commitments. The Group classes loans as forborne when the contractual payment terms are modified because the Group has significant concerns about the borrowers' ability to meet contractual payments when due. Non-payment-related concessions without potential indicators of impairment do not trigger identification as forborne loans. Loans cease to be reported as forborne if they pass three tests:

- the forborne exposure must have been considered to be performing for a probation period of at least two years;

- regular payments of more than an insignificant aggregate amount of principal or interest have been made during at least half of the probation period; and
- no exposure to the debtor is more than 30 days past due at the end of the probation period.

It is worth mentioning that the use of support measures introduced by ECB as a result of the Covid-19 pandemic does not in itself trigger identification as non-performing or forborne.

The following tables provide asset quality information of the Group's debt Instruments and off-balance sheet exposures excluding those held for trading broken down by supervisory reporting counterparty classes.

The amounts shown are based on IFRS accounting values according to the regulatory scope of consolidation. The gross carrying amount reflects the exposure value including accumulated impairment, provisions and accumulated negative changes due to credit risk for non-performing exposures.

Table CR1: Performing and non-performing exposures and related provisions

31 December 2021	Performing exposures			Non-performing exposures			Performing exposures			Non-performing exposures		Collateral and guarantees	
	Total gross amount	Of which Stage 1	Of which Stage 2	Total gross amount	Of which Stage 2	Of which Stage 3	Impairment	Of which Stage 1	Of which Stage 2	Impairment	Of which Stage 3	on performing exposures	on non-performing exposures
<i>In millions of euros</i>													
1 Loans and advances	5,759	5,701	57	80	-	80	(5)	(5)	-	(46)	(46)	4,842	1
4 Credit institutions	1,267	1,267	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	849	-
5 Other financial corporations	219	219	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 Non-financial corporations	816	810	5	56	-	56	(1)	(1)	-	(30)	(30)	636	1
7 <i>Of which SMEs</i>	381	381	-	26	-	26	-	-	-	(11)	(11)	123	1
8 Households	3,457	3,405	52	24	-	24	(4)	(4)	-	(16)	(16)	3,356	-
9 Debt securities	1,373	1,373	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 General governments	91	91	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12 Credit institutions	973	973	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13 Other financial corporations	124	124	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14 Non-financial corporations	185	185	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15 Off-balance-sheet exposures	1,728	1,728	-	-	-	-	(1)	(1)	-	-	-	676	-
18 Credit institutions	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19 Other financial corporations	1,019	1,019	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	208	-
20 Non-financial corporations	119	119	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	89	-
21 Households	574	574	-	-	-	-	(1)	(1)	-	-	-	379	-
22 TOTAL	8,860	8,802	57	80	-	80	(6)	(6)	-	(46)	(46)	5,518	1

31 December 2020	Performing exposures			Non-performing exposures			Performing exposures			Non-performing exposures		Collateral and guarantees	
	Total gross amount	Of which Stage 1	Of which Stage 2	Total gross amount	Of which Stage 2	Of which Stage 3	Impairment	Of which Stage 1	Of which Stage 2	Impairment	Of which Stage 3	on performing exposures	on non-performing exposures
<i>In millions of euros</i>													
1 Loans and advances	4,955	4,943	12	92	1	91	(5)	(4)	(1)	(52)	(52)	4,110	-
4 Credit institutions	1,438	1,438	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	960	-
5 Other financial corporations	164	164	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	58	-
6 Non-financial corporations	731	724	7	63	1	62	(3)	(2)	(1)	(35)	(35)	583	-
7 <i>Of which SMEs</i>	389	389	-	31	-	31	-	-	-	(15)	(15)	157	-
8 Households	2,622	2,617	5	29	-	29	(2)	(2)	-	(17)	(17)	2,509	-
9 Debt securities	1,391	1,347	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 General governments	124	124	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12 Credit institutions	970	936	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13 Other financial corporations	115	105	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14 Non-financial corporations	182	182	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15 Off-balance-sheet exposures	1,292	1,292	-	-	-	-	(4)	(4)	-	-	-	556	-
18 Credit institutions	12	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19 Other financial corporations	744	744	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	198	-
20 Non-financial corporations	123	123	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	77	-
21 Households	413	413	-	-	-	-	(4)	(4)	-	-	-	281	-
22 TOTAL	7,638	7,523	12	92	1	91	(10)	(8)	(2)	(52)	(52)	4,666	-

1. Loans and advances in this table exclude demand deposits and overnight loans with banks.

Table CQ3: Credit quality of performing and non-performing exposures by past due days

		Performing exposures			Non-performing exposures					
		Total gross amount	Not past due or past due ≤30 days	Past due >30 days ≤90 days	Total gross amount	Past due >90 days ≤180 days	Past due >180 days ≤1 year	Past due >1 year ≤2 years	Past due >2 years ≤5 years	Of which defaulted
31 December 2021										
<i>In millions of euros</i>										
1	Loans and advances	5,759	5,713	46	80	20	-	-	60	80
4	Credit institutions	1,267	1,267	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Other financial corporations	219	219	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Non-financial corporations	816	815	1	56	16	-	-	40	56
7	<i>Of which SMEs</i>	381	381	-	26	7	-	-	19	26
8	Households	3,457	3,412	45	24	4	-	-	20	24
9	Debt securities	1,373	1,373	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	General governments	91	91	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Credit institutions	973	973	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	Other financial corporations	124	124	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Non-financial corporations	185	185	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Off-balance-sheet exposures	1,728	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Credit institutions	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Other financial corporations	1,019	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Non-financial corporations	119	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Households	574	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	TOTAL	8,860	7,086	46	80	20	-	-	60	80

		Performing exposures			Non-performing exposures					
		Total gross amount	Not past due or past due ≤30 days	Past due >30 days ≤90 days	Total gross amount	Past due >90 days ≤180 days	Past due >180 days ≤1 year	Past due >1 year ≤2 years	Past due >2 years ≤5 years	Of which defaulted
31 December 2020										
<i>In millions of euros</i>										
1	Loans and advances	4,955	4,950	5	92	23	-	-	69	91
4	Credit institutions	1,438	1,438	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Other financial corporations	164	164	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Non-financial corporations	731	730	1	63	18	-	-	45	62
7	<i>Of which SMEs</i>	389	389	-	31	9	-	-	22	31
8	Households	2,622	2,618	4	29	5	-	-	24	29
9	Debt securities	1,391	1,391	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	General governments	124	124	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Credit institutions	970	970	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	Other financial corporations	115	115	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Non-financial corporations	182	182	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Off-balance-sheet exposures	1,292	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Credit institutions	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Other financial corporations	744	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Non-financial corporations	123	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Households	413	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	TOTAL	7,638	6,341	5	92	23	-	-	69	91

1. Loans and advances in this table exclude demand deposits and overnight loans with banks.

The following table provides information on the development of the Group's non-performing loans and advances.

Table CR2: Changes in the stock of non-performing loans and advances

In millions of euros		31/12/2021	31/12/2020
1	Initial stock of non-performing loans and advances	92	88
2	Inflows to non-performing portfolios	-	4
3	Outflows from non-performing portfolios	(12)	-
4	outflows due to write-offs	-	-
5	outflow due to other situations	(12)	-
6	FINAL STOCK OF NON-PERFORMING LOANS AND ADVANCES	80	92

The following table shows the net credit exposures split into five categories based on the residual contractual maturity. In this disclosure:

- “on-demand” means a counterparty has a choice of when an amount is repaid, including balances receivable on-demand, at

short notice, current accounts, overnight and overdrafts on current account balances;

- “no stated maturity” means an exposure has no stated maturity for reasons other than the counterparty having the choice of the repayment date.

Table CR1-A: Maturity exposures

31 December 2021		On demand	≤1 year	>1 year ≤5 years	>5 years	No stated maturity	Total
In millions of euros							
1	Loans and advances	-	4,033	1,430	325	-	5,788
2	Debt securities	-	647	655	71	-	1,373
3	TOTAL	-	4,680	2,085	396	-	7,161

31 December 2020		On demand	≤1 year	>1 year ≤5 years	>5 years	No stated maturity	Total
In millions of euros							
1	Loans and advances	-	3,475	1,214	301	-	4,990
2	Debt securities	-	628	683	80	-	1,391
3	TOTAL	-	4,103	1,897	381	-	6,381

1. Loans and advances in this table exclude demand deposits and overnight loans with banks.

1, 2. Amounts in this table are net of impairments.

The following table shows asset quality information for forbore exposures broken down by Supervisory Reporting counterparty classes.

The amounts shown are based on IFRS accounting values according to the regulatory scope of consolidation. The gross carrying amount reflects the exposure value including accumulated impairment, provisions and accumulated negative changes due to credit risk for non-performing exposures.

Table CQ1: Credit quality of forbore exposures

31 December 2021	Gross amount of exposures with forbearance measures				Accumulated impairment	
	Performing forbore	Non-performing forbore	Of which defaulted	Of which impaired	On performing forbore exposures	On non-performing forbore exposures
In millions of euros						
1	Loans and advances	-	8	8	8	4
6	Non-financial corporations	-	8	8	8	4
8	Debt securities	-	-	-	-	-
9	Loan commitments given	-	-	-	-	-
10	TOTAL	-	8	8	8	4

31 December 2020	Gross amount of exposures with forbearance measures				Accumulated impairment	
	Performing forbore	Non-performing forbore	Of which defaulted	Of which impaired	On performing forbore exposures	On non-performing forbore exposures
In millions of euros						
1	Loans and advances	-	9	9	9	5
6	Non-financial corporations	-	9	9	9	5
8	Debt securities	-	-	-	-	-
9	Loan commitments given	-	-	-	-	-
10	TOTAL	-	9	9	9	5

1. Loans and advances in this table exclude demand deposits and overnight loans with banks.

6. Counterparty credit risk

6.1 Overview

Counterparty credit risk is the risk a counterparty to a transaction could default before the final settlement of the transaction's cash flows. Across the Group, counterparty credit risk mainly arises from derivatives instruments with almost all of the transactions being vanilla foreign exchange and interest rate products used for hedging purposes and entered into bilaterally with major investment-grade banks. CCR is calculated in both the trading and banking books and is the risk that a counterparty may default before the settlement of the transaction.

In accordance with CRR, four approaches may be used to calculate exposure values for CCR: original exposure, standardised approach ("SA-CCR"), simplified SA-CCR and IMM. Exposure values calculated under these approaches are used to determine RWAs. Under the threshold limit set in CRR, the Group applies the Standardised approach, which determines EAD as the replacement cost plus regulatory potential future exposures. The regulatory prescribed measures consider trade maturity, the netting and margin agreement, and collaterals.

The CCR capital requirements of the Group are not material (2.0% of the total RWAs at 31 December 2021).

6.2 Counterparty credit risk management

Derivative transactions with banking counterparties

The derivative transactions of the Group are each documented by way of an ISDA netting agreement with a corresponding Credit Support Annex which allows for the daily calling of variation margin and is reviewed daily by the Operation teams. The collateral called for margin purposes is always in the form of cash.

The list of approved banking counterparties with whom derivatives are transacted is reviewed on a weekly basis and their ratings are monitored daily with limits and removed in the event of adverse news or a significant rating downgrade.

Derivative transactions with non-banking counterparties

This type of transaction is mostly linked to the derivative instruments stemming from activities on servicing client requirements. They are always perfectly hedged by derivatives with banking counterparties. CCR arising from these transactions is covered by collaterals using the clients' assets under management and managed within the Group's credit risk mitigation process.

The following table shows the main parameters for the new standardized approach for counterparty credit risk used to calculate the exposure at default for derivatives and SFTs. Exposures relevant for credit valuation adjustment (CVA) charges are presented separately in table CCR2.

Table CCR1: Analysis of CCR exposure by approach

31 December 2021		Replacement cost (RC)	Potential future exposure (PFE)	Alpha used for exposure value	Exposure value pre-CRM	Exposure value post-CRM	Exposure value	RWA
<i>In millions of euros</i>								
EU1	EU – Original Exposure Method (for derivatives)	-	-	1.4	-	-	-	-
EU2	EU – Simplified SA-CCR (for derivatives)	-	-	1.4	-	-	-	-
1	SA-CCR (for derivatives)	66	191	1.4	488	360	360	174
6	TOTAL	66	191		488	360	360	174

31 December 2020		Replacement cost (RC)	Potential future exposure (PFE)	Alpha used for exposure value	Exposure value pre-CRM	Exposure value post-CRM	Exposure value	RWA
<i>In millions of euros</i>								
EU1	EU – Original Exposure Method (for derivatives)	109	128	1.4	332	332	332	149
EU2	EU – Simplified SA-CCR (for derivatives)	-	-	1.4	-	-	-	-
1	SA-CCR (for derivatives)	-	-	1.4	-	-	-	-
6	TOTAL	109	128		332	332	332	149

The following table provides the counterparty credit risk exposures in the standardized approach broken down by risk weights and regulatory exposure classes.

Table CCR3: Standardised approach – CCR exposures by regulatory exposure class and risk weights

31 December 2021		20%	50%	75%	100%	150%	Total
<i>In millions of euros</i>							
1	Central governments or central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Institutions	214	22	-	-	-	236
7	Corporates	-	-	-	109	-	109
8	Retail	-	-	8	-	-	8
9	Institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment	4	-	-	-	-	4
10	Other items	-	-	-	-	3	3
11	TOTAL	218	22	8	109	3	360

31 December 2020		20%	50%	75%	100%	150%	Total
<i>In millions of euros</i>							
1	Central governments or central banks	-	-	-	3	-	3
6	Institutions	185	52	-	-	-	237
7	Corporates	-	-	-	67	-	67
8	Retail	-	-	13	-	-	13
9	Institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment	8	1	-	-	-	9
10	Other items	-	-	-	-	3	3
11	TOTAL	193	53	13	70	3	332

6 Counterparty credit risk

The following table provides a breakdown of all types of collateral posted or received to support or reduce CCR exposures related to derivatives and SFT. For SFT, collateral refers to both legs of the transaction as collateral received and collateral posted.

Table CCR5: Composition of collateral for CCR exposures

31 December 2021 <i>In millions of euros</i>		Collateral used in derivative transactions				Collateral used in SFTs	
		Fair value of collateral received		Fair value of posted collateral		Fair value of collateral received	Fair value of posted collateral
		Segregated	Unsegregated	Segregated	Unsegregated		
1	Cash – domestic currency	-	56	-	83	-	-
3	Domestic sovereign debt	-	-	-	-	356	29
6	Corporate bonds	-	-	-	-	354	408
7	Equity securities	-	-	-	-	139	-
9	TOTAL	-	56	-	83	849	437

31 December 2020 <i>In millions of euros</i>		Collateral used in derivative transactions				Collateral used in SFTs	
		Fair value of collateral received		Fair value of posted collateral		Fair value of collateral received	Fair value of posted collateral
		Segregated	Unsegregated	Segregated	Unsegregated		
1	Cash – domestic currency	-	-	-	23	-	-
3	Domestic sovereign debt	-	-	-	-	553	30
6	Corporate bonds	-	-	-	-	130	310
7	Equity securities	-	-	-	-	350	-
9	TOTAL	-	-	-	23	1,033	340

6.3 Credit valuation adjustment

Credit valuation adjustment represents the risk of loss as a result of adverse changes to the credit quality of counterparties in non-centrally cleared derivatives transactions. For the calculation of the Group's CVA capital requirements, the standardised approach is applied.

The following table presents information on the risk-weighting of CVA exposures and RWAs under the standardised approach by the applicable method.

Table CCR2: Transactions subject to own funds requirements for CVA risk

31 December 2021 <i>In millions of euros</i>		Exposure value	RWA
4	Transactions subject to the Standardised method	274	45
5	TOTAL	274	45

31 December 2020 <i>In millions of euros</i>		Exposure value	RWA
4	Transactions subject to the Standardised method	303	40
5	TOTAL	303	40

7. Securitisation

7.1 Overview

Securitisation means a transaction or scheme, whereby the credit risk associated with an exposure or pool of exposures is tranching, having the following characteristics:

- payments made in the transaction or scheme are dependent upon the performance of the exposure or pool of exposures;
- the subordination of tranches determines the distribution of losses during the life of the risk transfer.

The securitisation exposures of the Group are not material (0.7% of the total RWAs at 31 December 2021) and mainly relate to the collateral management service to Collateralised Loan Obligations (“CLO”) programs. The type of CLO that the Group is involved in is known as traditional securitisations or true sale securitisation transactions, which are established by the transfer of ownership of the securitised exposures to a securitisation special purpose entity (“SSPE”). The securities issued by the SSPE do not represent payment obligations of the Group.

The applicable accounting rules require the Group to review whether or not the SSPEs need to be consolidated in accordance with IFRS 10. This review process is centralised in Group Finance and the information is disclosed in the annual report.

Within the framework of the securitisation activities, the Group can act as originator or sponsor:

- as an originator, the Group purchases a third party’s exposures for its own account and then securitises them by issuing CLO notes. Securitisation exposures arise due to the 5% risk retention requirement under the EU Securitisation Regulation;
- as a sponsor, the Group establishes and manage a CLO programme used to refinance third party assets and consequently, the third party holds the 5% of CLO notes issued as retention holder.

7.2 Risk and regulatory framework

The risk management framework for securitisation is part of the Group’s credit risk management framework. The business lines represent the first line of defence with responsibility for understanding all the risks incurred in order to ensure correct evaluation. Risk and other support functions act independently, as a second line of defence. Positions taken are monitored to measure changes in individual and portfolio risks. The monitoring of securitised assets covers credit, counterparty, market and liquidity risks on the underlying assets.

From 1 January 2019, the revised EU Securitisation Regulation began to apply for securitisation exposures. It comprises two regulations: the Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 that creates a European framework for simple, transparent and standardised securitisations (“STS”) as well as regulation (EU) 2017/2401 where the necessary amendments regarding CRR are adapted.

At 31 December 2021, for the Group’s securitisation exposures, the classification as an STS-securitisation is not intended as the effects from the application are marginal.

The hierarchy defined in the EU Securitisation Regulations sets the internal based approach (“SEC-IRBA”) at the top if at least 95% of the underlying exposure amounts can be calculated with the SEC-IRBA. If this is not the case the standardised approach (“SEC-SA”) is to be used. Only if the SEC-SA is not applicable, the External rating based approach (“SEC-ERBA”) is relevant.

At 31 December 2021, the Group calculates the securitisation capital requirement under the SEC-ERBA, for which the capital requirements are assigned to securitisation tranches based on their external rating⁽¹⁾. It should be noted that for all securitisations which qualify for a weight of 1,250%, the Group deducts this exposure from CET1 capital.

The following table details the total non-trading book securitisation exposure split by exposure type where the Group acts as either originator or sponsor, and positions that have been purchased through investment activities as investor. The amounts reported are the securitised principal notional amounts where no SRT has been achieved else the aggregated regulatory exposure values (EAD) are shown.

(1) The agencies used for the capital calculation as of 31 December 2021 are Fitch, Moody’s, Standard & Poor’s and Banque de France.

Table SEC1: Securitisation exposures in the non-trading book

31 December 2021 <i>In millions of euros</i>		Institution acts as originator			Institution acts as sponsor			Institution acts as investor		
		STS	Non-STS		STS	Non-STS		STS	Non-STS	
1	TOTAL EXPOSURE AMOUNT	-	63	63	-	-	-	-	10	10
2	Retail underlying	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Wholesale underlying	-	63	63	-	-	-	-	10	10
8	Loans to corporates	-	63	63	-	-	-	-	10	10

31 December 2020 <i>In millions of euros</i>		Institution acts as originator			Institution acts as sponsor			Institution acts as investor		
		STS	Non-STS		STS	Non-STS		STS	Non-STS	
1	TOTAL EXPOSURE AMOUNT	-	74	74	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	Retail underlying	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Wholesale underlying	-	74	74	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	Loans to corporate	-	74	74	-	-	-	-	-	-

The following table presents, where the Group acts as originator or sponsor, the retained or purchased non-trading book securitisations by risk-weight bands.

Table SEC3: Securitisation exposures in the non-trading book and associated regulatory capital requirements – institution acting as originator or as sponsor

31 December 2021 <i>In millions of euros</i>		Exposure values by RW bands				Exposure values under SEC-ERBA	RWA under SEC-ERBA	Capital charge after cap under SEC-ERBA
		≤20% RW	>20% to 50% RW	>50% to 100% RW	>100% to 1250% RW			
1	TOTAL EXPOSURE AMOUNT	41	8	5	9	63	41	3
2	Traditional transactions	41	8	5	9	63	41	3
3	Securitisation	41	8	5	9	63	41	3
4	Retail underlying	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Wholesale underlying	41	8	5	9	63	41	3

31 December 2020 <i>In millions of euros</i>		Exposure values by RW bands				Exposure values under SEC-ERBA	RWA under SEC-ERBA	Capital charge after cap under SEC-ERBA
		≤20% RW	>20% to 50% RW	>50% to 100% RW	>100% to 1250% RW			
1	TOTAL EXPOSURE AMOUNT	49	9	5	11	74	46	4
2	Traditional transactions	49	9	5	11	74	46	4
3	Securitisation	49	9	5	11	74	46	4
4	Retail underlying	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Wholesale underlying	49	9	5	11	74	46	4

The following table presents, where the Group acts as investor, the purchased non-trading book securitisations by risk-weight bands.

Table SEC4: Securitisation exposures in the non-trading book and associated regulatory capital requirements – institution acting as investor

31 December 2021 <i>In millions of euros</i>	Exposure values by RW bands				Exposure values under SEC-ERBA	RWA under SEC-ERBA	Capital charge after cap under SEC-ERBA
	≤20% RW	>20% to 50% RW	>50% to 100% RW	>100% to 1250% RW			
1 TOTAL EXPOSURE AMOUNT	-	-	-	10	10	28	2
2 Traditional transactions	-	-	-	10	10	28	2
3 Securitisation	-	-	-	10	10	28	2
4 Retail underlying	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 Wholesale underlying	-	-	-	10	10	28	2

31 December 2020 <i>In millions of euros</i>	Exposure values by RW bands				Exposure values under SEC-ERBA	RWA under SEC-ERBA	Capital charge after cap under SEC-ERBA
	≤20% RW	>20% to 50% RW	>50% to 100% RW	>100% to 1250% RW			
1 TOTAL EXPOSURE AMOUNT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 Traditional transactions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3 Securitisation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 Retail underlying	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 Wholesale underlying	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

The following table presents the outstanding nominal amounts where the Group acts as originator or sponsor along with exposures that have been classified as defaulted and its relating specific credit risk adjustments.

Table SEC5: Exposures securitised in default and specific credit risk adjustments – institution acting as originator or as sponsor

31 December 2021 <i>In millions of euros</i>	Outstanding nominal amount	Of which exposures in default	Specific credit risk adjustments
1 TOTAL EXPOSURE AMOUNT	63	-	-
2 Retail underlying	-	-	-
7 Wholesale underlying	63	-	-
8 Loans to corporates	63	-	-

31 December 2020 <i>In millions of euros</i>	Outstanding nominal amount	Of which exposures in default	Specific credit risk adjustments
1 TOTAL EXPOSURE AMOUNT	74	-	-
2 Retail underlying	-	-	-
7 Wholesale underlying	74	-	-
8 Loans to corporates	74	-	-

8. Market risk and large exposures

8.1 Overview

Market risk is the risk of loss of value on financial instruments arising from changes in market parameters, the volatility of these parameters and the correlations between them. The market risk of the Group encompasses risk factors that are defined as follows:

- interest rate risk is the risk that the value of a financial instrument will fluctuate due to changes in market interest rates;
- foreign exchange risk is the risk that the value of an instrument will fluctuate due to changes in foreign exchange rates;
- equity risk arises from changes in the market prices and volatilities of equity shares and/or equity indices;
- commodities risk arises from changes in the market prices and volatilities of commodities and/or commodity indices.

The market risk of the Group is not material (3.3% of the total RWAs at 31 December 2021) and mainly relates to derivative financial instruments. These derivative transactions are classified in the regulatory trading book under CRR, however, the majority of trading derivatives are contracted to hedge financial assets or financial liabilities for which the Group has not documented a hedging relationship, or which do not qualify for hedge accounting under IFRS.

Table MR1: Market risk under the standardised approach

Outright products <i>In millions of euros</i>		RWA	
		31/12/2021	31/12/2020
1	Interest rate risk (general and specific)	83	91
2	Equity risk (general and specific)	4	3
3	Foreign exchange risk	255	186
4	Commodity risk	7	11
9	TOTAL	349	291

Within the Group, market risk is handled using the standardised approach.

At 31 December 2021, market risk-weighted assets were slightly higher, due in particular to an increase in volumes partially offset by changes in risk parameters relating to the market factors.

8.2 Market risk management

System for measuring market risk

The Group requires that each of its regulated banking subsidiaries manages market risk on a stand-alone basis in accordance with its risk appetite and limits approved by the Group ALCO.

System for monitoring market risk

It is the responsibility of senior management within each business in the Group entities to implement risk management systems and controls. They must comply with the Group Risk Framework designed to ensure compliance with the regulations applicable to the Group.

Each material subsidiary that conducts banking activities and has market risk exposures is required, subject to local disclosure rules and regulations, to have a market risk policy approved by the Group ALCO which is consistent with the Group Market Risk Policy and which is in line with the requirements of local regulators.

Stress testing of market risk

Given the negligible exposure to market risk on proprietary trading across the Group active stress testing is not deemed necessary. The Group and banking subsidiaries do not use internal models to calculate capital requirements for market risk.

8.3 Large exposures

Large exposures requirement is the regulatory measurement of the Group's concentration risk. It limits the maximum loss that the Group could face in the event of a single counterparty failure to a level that does not endanger our solvency. In accordance with CRR, the Group measures its exposures to a single counterparty or a group of connected counterparties and limits the size of large exposures in relation to the regulatory capital.

From June 2021, the large exposure framework is amended such that a stricter definition of eligible capital is introduced. Eligible capital is limited to Tier 1 capital, while previously it included Tier 2 capital capped at one-third of Tier 1 capital. This effectively reduces the general large exposure limit of 25% of eligible capital.

At 31 December 2021, the Group does not have capital requirements arising from large exposures that exceed the limits in accordance with CRR.

9. Operational risk

9.1 Overview

Operational risk is the risk of incurring a loss due to inadequate or failed internal processes, or due to external events, whether deliberate, accidental or natural occurrences. Operational risk encompasses fraud, human resources risks, legal risks, non-compliance risks, tax risks, information system risks, conduct risks,

risks related to failures in operating processes, including loan procedures or model risks, as well as any potential financial implications resulting from the management of reputation risk. Credit or market events such as default or fluctuations in value do not fall within the scope of operational risk.

Table OR1: Operational risk own funds requirements and risk-weighted exposure amounts

31 December 2021 <i>In millions of euros</i>		Relevant indicator			Own funds requirements	Risk weighted exposure amount
		Year 3	Year 2	Last year		
1	Banking activities subject to basic indicator approach (BIA)	1,338	1,261	2,227	241	3,016
5	Banking activities subject to advanced measurement approaches AMA	534	538	698	66	830

31 December 2020 <i>In millions of euros</i>		Relevant indicator			Own funds requirements	Risk weighted exposure amount
		Year 3	Year 2	Last year		
1	Banking activities subject to basic indicator approach (BIA)	1,442	1,338	1,261	202	2,526
5	Banking activities subject to advanced measurement approaches AMA	534	534	538	60	753

9.2 Approach adopted

The capital requirement for operational risk is calculated using the Basic Indicator Approach for the Group except for RMM that has been authorised by ACPR to use the Advanced Measurement Approach. The Group Operational Risk Policy defines roles, responsibilities and accountabilities across the Group for the identification, measurement, monitoring and reporting of operational risks. Risk maps are developed by each business and support unit.

- Basic Indicator Approach: the capital requirement is calculated as the average over the past three years of a financial aggregate based on net banking income (the exposure indicator) multiplied by a unique alpha parameter set by the regulator (15% weighting);

- Advanced Measurement Approach: the AMA capital requirements are calculated as Value at Risk, or the maximum potential loss over one year, at a 99.9% confidence level to calculate regulatory capital requirements. Capital requirements are calculated at an aggregate level using risk data from all RMM entities in the AMA perimeter, then allocated to business lines and individual legal entities.

9.3 Operational risk management objectives and policies

The nature of our businesses means that operational risks are most effectively mitigated through the application of rigorous internal procedures and processes, with a particular emphasis on client take-on, identification of conflicts of interest, project-specific appointment letters, formal approval of new products and quality controls in transaction implementation. This is supported by a training program on our procedures and regulatory and compliance issues. The Group manages its operational risks through a variety of techniques, including monitoring of incidents, internal controls, training and various risk mitigation techniques, such as insurance and business continuity planning.

One of the objectives of the Group Operational Risk Policy is to ensure that operational risk is managed and reported consistently across the Group. Senior management of each business and support unit is required to:

- identify the operational risks which are material in its business;
- describe the controls in place to mitigate these risks; and
- assess the potential impact of each risk and the likelihood of an event occurring (after taking account of mitigants in place).

Senior management in the operating entities is required to identify, escalate and report operational risk incidents and control weaknesses which give rise to or potentially give rise to financial loss or reputation damage.

9.4 Advanced measurement approach

RMM is authorised by the ACPR to use the Advanced Measurement Approach since 2007.

The RMM framework is composed of both qualitative and quantitative elements. The qualitative elements follow the requirements for the Group as set out in the Group Operational Risk Policy.

The quantitative elements comprise an internal model that quantifies material operational risks. The RMM internal model inputs are internal data, external data, scenario analysis and Key Risk Indicators that reflect the business and internal control environment. Internal losses are collected without threshold at RMM. Scenario analyses are defined with business experts for material risks. The RMM model is composed of eleven risk classes based on the combination of Basel business lines and the following Basel risk categories:

- Internal fraud;
- External fraud;
- Employment practices and workplace safety;
- Clients, products, and business practices;
- Damage to physical assets;
- Business disruption and system failures; and
- Execution, delivery, and process management.

9.5 Use of insurance for risk mitigation

The RMM insurance program has been revised during the deployment of the Operational Risk Advanced Measurement Approach framework to allow the recognition of the effect of insurance techniques as a factor in reducing capital.

10. Liquidity risk

10.1 Overview

Liquidity risk reflects the risk of the Group being unable to fulfil current or future foreseen or unforeseen cash or collateral requirements, across all time horizons, from the short to the long term. Managing liquidity risk is a crucial element in ensuring the

future viability and prosperity of the Group. The Group adopts a conservative approach to liquidity risk and its management and has designed its approach in the overall context of our strategy.

10.2 Governance

In line with the directions given by the Managing Partner, the ALCO is granted authority for the development and oversight of the implementation of the liquidity strategy, the approval of local liquidity risk policies and limits, and the implementation of reasonable steps to ensure these are consistent with our risk appetite.

Within this framework, the Group ALCO:

- establishes and maintains a structure for the management of liquidity risk including allocations of authority and responsibility to senior managers and ensures that all reasonable steps are taken to measure, monitor and control liquidity risk and identify material changes to the liquidity profile;

- evaluates the results of stress testing on the liquidity profile and is responsible for the invocation of any Contingency Funding Plan (CFP) measures if necessary.

Group Risk is responsible for monitoring the Group's liquidity risk, preparing periodic reports on it for the GEC, the Rothschild & Co Risk Committee and the Group ALCO and verifying the appropriateness of stress testing in consultation with Group Finance.

The Rothschild & Co Risk Committee are responsible for regularly reviewing the Group's liquidity risk identification, measurement, monitoring and control policies and procedures.

The Rothschild & Co Supervisory Board is required to approve material changes to the Group Liquidity Risk Policy.

10.3 Liquidity risk management

Measurement

The Group Risk Appetite Statement establishes limits to ensure that the Group will maintain sufficient liquid resources to meet cash-flow obligations and maintain a buffer over regulatory and internal liquidity requirements. Each banking subsidiary must have in place a liquidity risk policy approved by the Group ALCO and which defines its liquidity risk limits and how liquidity risk is measured, monitored and controlled.

The Group has a low appetite for liquidity risk and when investing its liquidity, each banking subsidiary is required to comply with the Treasury Liquidity Investment policy. The policy sets parameters on

the type and quantum of liquidity investments that can be made and investments can only be made subject to these parameters and in compliance with all internal and external liquidity limits and regulatory requirements.

Each entity performs annual stress testing and forecasting relative to business plans and stress scenarios with the results assessed by each banking subsidiary Treasury Committee and the Group ALCO.

Monitoring

It is the responsibility of senior management within each business in the Group to implement risk management systems and controls which comply with the Group Risk Framework designed to ensure compliance with CRR.

Each of these banking subsidiaries manages liquidity risk on a stand-alone basis in accordance with its defined individual risk appetite and according to policies and limits approved by the Rothschild & Co Group ALCO and the Rothschild & Co Supervisory Board in addition to any applicable local regulatory liquidity

guidance and limits. Liquidity risk is therefore primarily monitored through a range of liquidity measures applicable to each banking subsidiary. Liquidity risk is also monitored on an aggregated basis for the Group to ensure compliance with regulatory and internal limits and to ensure Group liquidity risk is in line with the risk appetite.

The Group and all banking subsidiary liquidity risk policies are reviewed annually and follow the governance processes defined within the respective policies.

10.4 Liquidity Coverage Ratio

The Liquidity Coverage Ratio aims to ensure that banks hold sufficient liquid assets or cash to survive a significant stress scenario combining a market crisis and a specific crisis and lasting

for one month. The following table presents the Group's quarterly LCR calculated as the rolling average of the twelve latest month-end measures.

Table LIQ1: Liquidity Coverage Ratio

<i>In millions of euros</i>		December 2021		September 2021		June 2021		March 2021		December 2020	
		Gross amount	LCR amount	Gross amount	LCR amount	Gross amount	LCR amount	Gross amount	LCR amount	Gross amount	LCR amount
High-Quality Liquid Assets											
1	TOTAL HIGH-QUALITY LIQUID ASSETS (HQLA)	5,112	5,061	4,889	4,833	4,699	4,633	4,641	4,566	4,754	4,601
Cash-outflows											
2	Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which:	5,343	857	5,235	838	5,127	818	5,079	808	4,989	804
3	Stable deposits	420	21	424	21	432	22	439	22	468	23
4	Less stable deposits	4,923	836	4,811	817	4,695	797	4,640	786	4,521	781
5	Unsecured wholesale funding	5,357	2,520	5,195	2,435	5,024	2,323	4,914	2,209	4,854	2,097
6	Operational deposits and deposits in networks of cooperative banks	890	238	863	231	895	237	941	247	1,083	261
7	Non-operational deposits	4,467	2,282	4,332	2,204	4,129	2,086	3,974	1,962	3,771	1,836
9	Secured wholesale funding	103	103	104	104	102	102	103	103	95	73
10	Additional requirements	805	88	743	94	666	103	614	119	601	139
11	Outflows related to derivative exposures and other collateral requirements	14	14	22	22	33	33	52	52	72	72
13	Credit and liquidity facilities	791	74	721	72	633	70	562	67	529	67
14	Other contractual funding obligations	34	5	32	4	31	3	31	3	64	19
16	TOTAL CASH OUTFLOWS	11,643	3,574	11,309	3,475	10,949	3,350	10,741	3,242	10,624	3,133
Cash-inflows											
17	Secured lending (e.g. reverse repos)	419	403	451	451	429	429	388	388	277	270
18	Inflows from fully performing exposures	1,550	1,062	1,528	1,056	1,501	1,054	1,450	1,031	1,352	948
19	Other cash inflows	52	52	58	58	68	68	93	93	173	173
20	TOTAL CASH INFLOWS	2,021	1,518	2,037	1,564	1,998	1,550	1,931	1,512	1,802	1,390
21	Liquidity buffer		5,061		4,833		4,633		4,566		4,601
22	Total net cash outflows		2,056		1,911		1,800		1,730		1,742
23	LIQUIDITY COVERAGE RATIO (%)		248%		254%		260%		267%		267%

10.5 Net Stable Funding Ratio

CRR introduces the Net Stable Funding Ratio as a one-year structural liquidity ratio, which is the subject of a 100% minimum requirement from 28 June 2021. NSFR aims to ensure that assets and financing commitments considered over one year are financed by resources over one year. The Group calculates the NSFR at the end of each period rather than using average values.

Table LIQ2: Net Stable Funding Ratio

Available stable funding (ASF) Items <i>In millions of euros</i>	Unweighted value				Weighted value 31/12/2021	Unweighted value				Weighted value 31/12/2020
	No maturity	<6 months	6 months to <1 year	≥1 year		No maturity	<6 months	6 months to <1 year	≥1 year	
1 Capital items and instruments	605	-	-	2,527	2,527	380	-	-	2,405	2,405
2 Own funds	-	-	-	2,527	2,527	-	-	-	2,405	2,405
4 Retail deposits	-	7,125	-	-	6,432	-	6,570	-	-	5,934
5 Stable deposits	-	408	-	-	387	-	415	-	-	395
6 Less stable deposits	-	6,717	-	-	6,045	-	6,155	-	-	5,539
7 Wholesale funding	-	4,731	-	325	2,277	-	4,596	-	250	2,182
8 Operational deposits	-	1,786	-	-	665	-	1,473	-	-	553
9 Other wholesale funding	-	2,945	-	325	1,612	-	3,123	-	250	1,629
11 Other liabilities	102	2,235	-	-	-	135	2,136	-	-	-
12 NSFR derivative liabilities	102	-	-	-	-	135	-	-	-	-
13 All other liabilities and capital instruments not included in the above categories	-	2,235	-	-	-	-	2,136	-	-	-
14 TOTAL AVAILABLE STABLE FUNDING (ASF)	707	14,091	-	2,852	11,236	515	13,302	-	2,655	10,521
Required stable funding (RSF) Items										
15 Total high-quality liquid assets (HQLA)	6,144	-	-	-	46	5,541	-	-	-	51
16 Deposits held at other financial institutions for operational purposes	-	12	-	-	6	-	36	-	-	18
17 Performing loans and securities	-	5,118	1,100	3,421	4,959	-	4,846	919	3,276	4,650
18 Performing securities financing transactions with financial customers collateralised by Level 1 HQLA subject to 0% haircut	-	374	-	-	-	-	348	-	-	-
19 Performing securities financing transactions with financial customer collateralised by other assets and loans and advances to financial institutions	-	2,383	43	19	255	-	2,200	42	-	223
20 Performing loans to non-financial corporate clients, loans to retail and small business customers, and loans to sovereigns, and PSEs, of which:	-	1,173	773	1,087	2,481	-	1,227	585	1,111	2,382
22 Performing residential mortgages, of which:	-	97	53	649	-	-	107	29	640	-
24 Other loans and securities that are not in default and do not qualify as HQLA, including exchange-traded equities and trade finance on-balance sheet products	-	1,091	231	1,666	2,223	-	964	263	1,525	2,045
26 Other assets	-	633	-	1,226	1,425	-	675	-	1,189	1,356
27 Physical traded commodities	-	-	-	93	79	-	-	-	87	74
30 NSFR derivative liabilities before deduction of variation margin posted	-	97	-	-	5	-	169	-	-	8
31 All other assets not included in the above categories	-	536	-	1,133	1,341	-	506	-	1,102	1,274
32 Off-balance sheet items	-	153	222	1,352	86	-	176	168	1,203	77
33 TOTAL RSF	6,144	5,916	1,322	5,999	6,522	5,541	5,733	1,087	5,668	6,152
34 NET STABLE FUNDING RATIO (%)					172%					171%

11. Remuneration

The undernoted remuneration disclosures have been drafted in accordance with Article 450 of the amended CRR, with consideration for the size, internal organisation and nature, scope and complexity of our activities.

11.1 Remuneration policy, principles, practices and procedures

The R&Co Group's remuneration policies, practices and procedures are documented in a Remuneration Policy document. In certain businesses or jurisdictions separate remuneration policies are required for specific regulatory reasons but they are, at a minimum, compliant with, and in line with, the R&Co Group policies.

The Policy outlines, among other things, the governance framework for remuneration matters, the way in which Material Risk Takers ("MRTs") are identified and remunerated, the definition of fixed and variable remuneration and the appropriate ratios between

the two, the performance measures used in determining variable remuneration including adjustments for current and potential risks and the Group's approach to guaranteed bonuses, retention awards and severance pay.

The only material update to the Policy during the course of 2021 was to reflect the revised remuneration requirements as a result of the amendments by Directive (EU) 2019/878 to Directive 2013/36/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council ("CRD5").

11.2 Decision-making process for remuneration policy

The R&Co Group has a Remuneration and Nomination Committee ("Committee") which reports to the Supervisory Board to assist the Supervisory Board with its remuneration related duties and in particular with the preparation of its decisions aimed at deciding R&Co Group's remuneration policy principles. Specifically, as laid out in its terms of reference, the Committee is responsible for:

- setting the principles and parameters of remuneration policy for R&Co Group as a whole and periodically reviewing the policy's adequacy and effectiveness taking into account all factors which it deems necessary including R&Co Group's strategy from time to time;
- supervising and reviewing the broad policy framework for the remuneration of the Group Executive Committee and the principles of the remuneration policy applicable to MRTs;
- reviewing the nature and scale of R&Co Group's short and long term incentive performance arrangements to ensure that they encourage enhanced performance and reward individuals in a fair and responsible manner for their contribution to the success of R&Co Group in light of an assessment of R&Co Group's financial situation and future prospects;
- supervising, and reviewing the total variable remuneration awarded across the Group, ensuring the amount has been subject to fair and appropriate adjustment considering current and future risks that may impact the R&Co Group;
- supervising the individual remuneration awarded to MRTs including members of the Compliance, Internal Audit and Risk divisions and the Group Executive Committee and, where appropriate, their employment and remuneration arrangements;

- reviewing and agreeing the list of MRTs as we define them (in line with appropriate criteria) in R&Co Group and each of its CRR regulated entities for the purposes of the ACPR and other local regulators as appropriate;
- participating in the selection and nomination process of members of the Board as detailed in the AFEP-MEDEF Code;
- reviewing and making recommendations to the Board on appropriate levels of Board and Committee fees and the aggregate quantum of fees for each financial year;
- reviewing the adequacy, timing and content of any significant disclosures on remuneration; and
- undertaking any other remuneration related obligations as required by either the lead regulator or a local regulator.

As at 31 December 2021, the Committee was composed of four members including three independent members: Sylvain Héfès (chairman), Carole Piwnica (independent), Peter Estlin (independent) and Véronique Weill (independent).

The Committee met five times during 2021 to discharge these responsibilities.

The Chairman of the Supervisory Board, the officers of Rothschild & Co Gestion, the Group Heads of Business Divisions, the Group Human Resources Director and the Group Chief Financial Officer are invited to attend these meetings.

No R&Co Group employee is permitted to participate in discussions or decisions of the Committee relating to his or her remuneration.

External consultants

The Committee's work during the year was informed by independent professional advice on remuneration issues from external consultants, in particular PwC. The external consultants provided advice on the interpretation and application to the Group of new remuneration regulations as well as updates to and the application of the remuneration policy which informed the management decisions reviewed by the Committee.

Role of the relevant stakeholders

The Committee takes full account of the R&Co Group's strategic objectives in setting its remuneration policy and is mindful of its duties to shareholders and other stakeholders. The Committee seeks to preserve shareholder value by supporting the effective retention and motivation of employees.

Material risk takers criteria

MRTs are identified on a consolidated and individual basis using appropriate criteria, which are based upon criteria set out in Article 92(3) of CRD5 and the EBA Regulatory Technical Standard on the identification of Material Risk Takers (Commission Delegated Regulation 2021/923).

11.3 The link between pay and performance

Remuneration is made up of fixed compensation (i.e. salary and cash allowances) and incentive or variable remuneration.

Performance is central to the determination of annual incentive pools and individual variable remuneration awards.

Performance measurement

Incentive pools are set having regard to a number of performance measures including revenues, pre-compensation profit and appropriate levels of shareholder return and bearing in mind market conditions, general economic conditions, the risk profile of, and risk taken by the R&Co Group, market remuneration trends and staff retention.

The measurement of performance used to set incentive pools takes account of the return to shareholders and return on capital as well as liquidity requirements. It also includes adjustment for current and potential risks as follows:

- financial performance measures are after the deduction of the full impairment of financial instruments and other assets where under the business unit's control;
- management accounts include full provision for all remuneration costs whether deferred or current;
- any significant contingencies are drawn to the attention of the Committee;
- with respect to receivable advisory fees, the collectability of the fee is highlighted if the fees were material in the determination of the variable remuneration pool; and

- where necessary, the Group's Chief Finance Officer, Group Chief Risk Officer, and Head of Legal and Compliance highlight any risk positions which the Committee should take into account when setting variable remuneration pools;
- fees are included in a financial period's results when they have been earned.

Individual variable remuneration awards reflect individual performance, which is assessed through the R&Co Group's annual performance process as well as business unit and R&Co Group performance.

Individual performance assessment takes into account financial measures and non-financial measures such as contribution measured against pre-set personal and technical competencies, effective risk management, compliance with the regulatory system and behaviours that support the R&Co Group's values and guiding principles.

There is strong central oversight of variable remuneration pools and individual awards. Overall annual remuneration expense is reviewed every year by the Committee. There is clear individual differentiation to ensure that the best performers are rewarded and in the financial year ended 31 December 2021 a number of staff received no variable remuneration awards.

11.4 Design and structure of remuneration for Material Risk Takers

Fixed compensation

Executives and staff receive fixed compensation and non-executives receive fees. These amounts primarily reflect their role, market value and level of responsibility. The structure of the remuneration package is such that the fixed element is sufficiently large to enable the R&Co Group to operate a truly flexible variable remuneration policy.

Variable compensation

Eligibility criteria

All MRTs, with the exception of non-executive directors, are eligible to participate in the R&Co Group's discretionary annual bonus scheme. Annual variable remuneration awards are designed to reward performance in line with the business strategy, objectives, values and long term interests of the R&Co Group and its subsidiaries while taking account of the R&Co Group's risk appetite.

Deferral and non-cash awards

The variable remuneration of MRTs identified on a consolidated basis is subject to the remuneration provisions as set out in Article 94 of CRD5 as interpreted by the ACPR. These include:

- application of the variable remuneration capping requirements (a ratio of variable remuneration not exceeding 200% (or in the case of control functions 100%) of the fixed remuneration having been agreed in accordance with the Article 94-(1)-(g) of CRD5);
- deferring at least 40% of a MRT's variable remuneration (above the *de minimis* threshold) over a period of at least four years, plus a holding period, post vesting, of six months;
- delivering at least 50% of a MRT's variable remuneration, for those above the *de minimis* threshold, in shares or share linked instruments;

Other payments

Guaranteed bonuses are only awarded in exceptional circumstances, in the context of hiring a new employee and are limited to the first year of service. Severance Awards are only awarded in certain specified circumstances and after taking into account various factors including the employee's performance and contribution to the R&Co Group over the period of employment as well as any

- ensuring MRTs have their variable remuneration subject to malus and clawback adjustment as appropriate in accordance with the R&Co Group's Malus and Clawback Policy.

In accordance with Article 94-(3)-(a) and (b) (respectively) of CRD5, a proportionate approach is applied when determining the level of deferral and non cash instrument that should be awarded as part of the variable remuneration of MRTs identified on an individual basis in our credit institutions and MRTs identified on a consolidated basis whose remuneration is below the specified thresholds.

In 2021 two MRTs identified only on an individual basis and eight MRTs identified on a consolidated basis with remuneration below the specified thresholds, with aggregate total remuneration of €207 thousand and €1.4 million respectively (split as fixed of €163 thousand and €1.08 million and variable of €45 thousand and €309 thousand respectively), were subject to this proportionate approach.

Long-term incentives

A number of our MRTs also participate in an incentive scheme which is designed to align the interests of the participants with the long-term sustainable performance of the business.

impact that their actions may have had on the R&Co Group's financial position or reputation.

The policies regarding guaranteed bonuses and severance awards apply to payments made to any employee regardless of whether or not they are classified as an MRT.

11.5 Control functions

Employees engaged in control functions are independent from the business units they oversee and have appropriate authority.

Human Resources reviews the remuneration of employees in control functions ensuring that remuneration proposals are determined with reference to objectives that relate to their respective functions and not to the performance of the business units they oversee.

The remuneration of the heads of the control functions, the Group Chief Financial Officer and the Group Human Resources Director is directly overseen by the Remuneration and Nomination Committee.

11.6 Remuneration expenditure for Material Risk Takers for the year ended 31 December 2021

Total remuneration awarded to MRTs identified on a consolidated basis for the period ended 31 December 2021 was €83.1 million.

Appendix III provides further information on the breakdown of the total remuneration awarded to MRTs.

Appendix I – Countercyclical capital buffer

The following tables disclose the amount of the institution's specific countercyclical buffer as well as the geographical distribution of credit exposures relevant for its calculation in the standard format as set out in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2015/1555. The geographical split table shows countries on an individual basis if they impose a countercyclical capital buffer rate or their total own funds requirements exceed €5 million. The values for the remaining countries are shown as "Other".

Countercyclical capital buffer rates are determined by Basel Committee member jurisdictions, respectively. Institution specific countercyclical capital buffer varies according to the percentage of risk-weighted assets. The "General credit exposures" comprise only credit exposures to the private sector, excluding exposures to the public sector and institutions, while the "Trading book exposures" contain market risk exposures to these sectors.

Table CCyB1: Geographical distribution of credit exposures relevant for the calculation of the countercyclical buffer

31 December 2021 <i>In millions of euros</i>	Relevant credit exposures			Own fund requirements			Risk-weighted exposure amounts	Own fund requirements weights (%)	Countercyclical buffer rate (%)
	Credit risk under SA	Market risk under SA	Total	General credit exposures	Trading book exposures	Total			
1	Luxembourg	2,015	2,015	115		115	1,438	27%	0.50%
2	France	2,679	2,679	112		112	1,400	26%	0.00%
3	United Kingdom	1,529	1,529	86		86	1,075	20%	0.00%
4	United States	319	319	28		28	350	7%	0.00%
5	Switzerland	345	345	12		12	150	3%	0.00%
6	Germany	111	111	8		8	100	2%	0.00%
7	Netherlands	100	100	9		9	113	2%	0.00%
8	Italy	89	89	7		7	88	2%	0.00%
9	Jersey	258	258	7		7	88	2%	0.00%
10	Spain	104	104	5		5	63	1%	0.00%
11	Rest of the world	1,111	1,111	41		41	513	10%	
12	TOTAL	8,660	8,660	430		430	5,375	100%	0.12%

31 December 2021 <i>In millions of euros</i>	Relevant credit exposures			Own fund requirements			Risk-weighted exposure amounts	Own fund requirements weights (%)	Countercyclical buffer rate (%)
	Credit risk under SA	Market risk under SA	Total	General credit exposures	Trading book exposures	Total			
1	Luxembourg	1,694	1,694	132		132	1,650	31%	0.50%
2	France	2,476	2,476	100		100	1,250	23%	0.00%
3	United Kingdom	1,022	1,022	56		56	700	13%	0.00%
4	United States	228	228	16		16	200	4%	0.00%
5	Switzerland	364	364	15		15	188	3%	0.00%
6	Germany	54	54	4		4	50	1%	0.00%
7	Netherlands	72	72	5		5	63	1%	0.00%
8	Italy	77	77	3		3	38	1%	0.00%
9	Jersey	163	163	4		4	50	1%	0.00%
10	Spain	78	78	4		4	50	1%	0.00%
11	Rest of the world	927	927	31		31	388	7%	
12	TOTAL	7,155	7,155	370		370	4,625	86%	0.16%

Appendix II – Asset encumbrance

In line with the EBA technical standards on regulatory asset encumbrance, the encumbered assets of the Group primarily comprise those on- and off-balance sheet assets that are pledged as collateral against secured funding, initial margins and derivative margin receivable assets, as well as other assets pledged which cannot be freely withdrawn such as mandatory minimum reserves at central banks.

The following tables set out a breakdown of on- and off-balance sheet items, broken down between encumbered and unencumbered. Any securities borrowed or purchased under resale agreements

are shown based on the fair value of collateral received. Following the European Commission's disclosure guidance for asset encumbrance, the Group has introduced the asset quality indicator concept "high-quality liquid assets" ("HQLA") as defined under the Delegated Act on Liquidity Coverage Ratio.

At 31 December 2021, €627 million of the Group's on-balance sheet assets were encumbered. These assets primarily relate to repo operations and cash collateral for derivative margin requirements.

Table AE1: Encumbered and unencumbered assets

31 December 2021 <i>In millions of euros</i>	Carrying amount of encumbered assets		Fair value of encumbered assets		Carrying amount of unencumbered assets		Fair value of unencumbered assets	
	Total	<i>of which notionally eligible EHQLA and HQLA</i>	Total	<i>of which notionally eligible EHQLA and HQLA</i>	Total	<i>of which EHQLA and HQLA</i>	Total	<i>of which EHQLA and HQLA</i>
1 TOTAL ASSETS	627	-			17,023	6,097		
2 Loans on demand	83	-			5,922	-		
3 Equity instruments	107	-	107	-	1,647	-	1,647	-
4 Debt securities	437	-	437	-	936	92	936	92
7 <i>of which: issued by general governments</i>	29	-	29	-	62	-	62	-
8 <i>of which: issued by financial corporations</i>	349	-	349	-	747	-	747	-
9 <i>of which: issued by non-financial corporations</i>	59	-	59	-	126	92	126	92
10 Other assets	-	-	-	-	8,518	6,005	-	-

31 December 2020 <i>In millions of euros</i>	Carrying amount of encumbered assets		Fair value of encumbered assets		Carrying amount of unencumbered assets		Fair value of unencumbered assets	
	Total	<i>of which notionally eligible EHQLA and HQLA</i>	Total	<i>of which notionally eligible EHQLA and HQLA</i>	Total	<i>of which EHQLA and HQLA</i>	Total	<i>of which EHQLA and HQLA</i>
1 TOTAL ASSETS	504	200			14,179	4,814		
2 Loans on demand	90	-			5,420	-		
3 Equity instruments	74	-	74	-	1,107	-	1,107	-
4 Debt securities	340	200	340	-	1,052	117	1,052	-
7 <i>of which: issued by general governments</i>	30		30		124	-	124	-
		18		0-				
8 <i>of which: issued by financial corporations</i>	266		266		115	-	115	-
		156		-				
9 <i>of which: issued by non-financial corporations</i>	44		44		182	117	182	-
		26		-				
10 Other assets	-	-	-	-	6,600	4,697	6,600	

Table AE2: Collateral received and own debt securities issued

31 December 2021 <i>In millions of euros</i>		Fair value of encumbered collateral received or own debt securities issued		Fair value of collateral received or own debt securities issued available for encumbrance	
		Total	<i>of which notionally eligible EHQLA and HQLA</i>	Total	<i>of which EHQLA and HQLA</i>
1	Collateral received	-	-	849	-
3	Equity instruments	-	-	139	-
4	Debt securities	-	-	710	-
7	<i>of which: issued by general governments</i>	-	-	356	-
9	<i>of which: issued by non-financial corporations</i>	-	-	354	-
13	TOTAL ASSETS, COLLATERAL RECEIVED AND OWN DEBT SECURITIES ISSUED	-	-	17,872	-

31 December 2020 <i>In millions of euros</i>		Fair value of encumbered collateral received or own debt securities issued		Fair value of collateral received or own debt securities issued available for encumbrance	
		Total	<i>of which notionally eligible EHQLA and HQLA</i>	Total	<i>of which EHQLA and HQLA</i>
1	Collateral received	-	-	1,033	-
3	Equity instruments	-	-	350	-
4	Debt securities	-	-	683	-
7	<i>of which: issued by general governments</i>	-	-	553	-
9	<i>of which: issued by non-financial corporations</i>	-	-	130	-
13	TOTAL ASSETS, COLLATERAL RECEIVED AND OWN DEBT SECURITIES ISSUED	-	-	15,212	-

The below table shows selected amounts for encumbered on- and off-balance sheet assets against the corresponding liabilities that have given rise to the encumbrance. These include assets pledged for derivatives margin, collateral required for repurchase agreements, and the ECB's Targeted Longer Term Refinancing Operation.

Table AE3: Sources of encumbrance

31 December 2021 <i>In millions of euros</i>		Matching liabilities, contingent liabilities or securities lent	Assets, collateral received and own debt securities issued
1	Carrying amount of selected financial liabilities	627	627

31 December 2020 <i>In millions of euros</i>		Matching liabilities, contingent liabilities or securities lent	Assets, collateral received and own debt securities issued
1	Carrying amount of selected financial liabilities	407	407

Appendix III – Additional tables

The following table shows the main features of the Common Equity Tier 1, Additional Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital instruments issued by Rothschild & Co SCA as of 31 December 2021.

Table CCA: Main features of regulatory own funds instruments and eligible liabilities instruments

In these tables, MB refers to management body.

Capital instrument		
1	Issuer	ROTHSCHILD & CO SCA
2	Unique identifier (e.g. CUSIP, ISIN or Bloomberg identifier for private placement)	ISIN Code: FR0000031684
3	Governing law(s) of the instrument	French law
Regulatory treatment		
4	Transitional CRR rules	Core Equity Tier 1
5	Post-transitional CRR rules	Core Equity Tier 1
6	Eligible at solo/(sub-)consolidated/solo & (sub-)consolidated	Solo & Consolidated
7	Instrument type (types to be specified by each jurisdiction)	Core Equity Tier 1
8	Amount recognised in regulatory capital	€155 million
9	Nominal amount of instrument	€155 million
9a	Issue price	100 per cent of nominal amount
9b	Redemption price	100 per cent of nominal amount
10	Accounting classification	Share Capital
11	Original date of issuance	N/A
12	Perpetual or dated	Perpetual
13	Original maturity date	No maturity
14	Issuer call subject to prior supervisory approval	N/A
15	Optional call date, contingent call dates, and redemption amount	N/A
16	Subsequent call dates, if applicable	N/A
Coupons/dividends		
17	Fixed or floating dividend/coupon	Floating
18	Coupon rate and any related index	N/A
19	Existence of a dividend stopper	N/A
20a	Fully discretionary, partially discretionary or mandatory	Fully discretionary
20b	Fully discretionary, partially discretionary or mandatory	Fully discretionary
21	Existence of step up or other incentive to redeem	N/A
22	Non-cumulative or cumulative	N/A
23	Convertible or non-convertible	N/A
30	Write-down features	N/A
35	Position in subordination hierarchy in liquidation (specify instrument type immediately senior to instrument)	Subordinated to deeply subordinated notes
36	Non-compliant transitioned features	No

Appendix III – Additional tables

The following tables provide information on the fixed and variable remuneration awarded during the financial year 2021, details of any special payments made, and information on a bank's total outstanding deferred and retained remuneration. In these tables, MB refers to Management Body.

Table REM1: Remuneration awarded for the financial year

<i>In millions of euros</i>		MB Supervisory function	MB Management function & Other senior management	Other identified staff
Fixed remuneration				
1	Number of identified staff	16	16	99
2	TOTAL FIXED REMUNERATION	1.7	20.1	14.6
3	<i>of which: cash-based</i>	1.7	20.1	14.6
Variable remuneration				
9	Number of identified staff	16	16	99
10	TOTAL VARIABLE REMUNERATION	0.6	32.2	13.9
11	<i>of which: cash-based</i>	0.3	16.2	7.2
12	<i>of which: deferred</i>	0.1	9.1	2.7
EU-13a	<i>of which: shares or equivalent ownership interests</i>	0.1	9.1	2.7
EU-14a	<i>of which: deferred</i>	0.1	9.1	2.7
EU-13b	<i>of which: share-linked instruments or equivalent non-cash instruments</i>	0.2	6.9	4.0
17	TOTAL AT 31 DECEMBER 2021	2.3	52.3	28.5

Table REM2: Special payments to staff whose professional activities have a material impact on institutions' risk profile

31 December 2021 <i>In millions of euros</i>		MB Supervisory function	MB Management function & Other senior management	Other identified staff
6	Severance payments awarded during the financial year – Number of identified staff	-	-	3
7	Severance payments awarded during the financial year – Total amount	-	-	1.3
8	<i>Of which paid during the financial year</i>	-	-	1.3
11	<i>Of which highest payment that has been awarded to a single person</i>	-	-	0.6

Table REM3: Deferred remuneration

<i>In millions of euros</i>		Total amount of deferred remuneration awarded for previous performance periods	<i>Of which due to vest in the financial year</i>	<i>Of which vesting in subsequent financial years</i>	Total amount of deferred remuneration awarded before the financial year actually paid out in the financial year
1	MB Supervisory function	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.1
2	Cash-based	0.2	-	0.1	-
3	Shares or equivalent ownership interests	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1
7	MB Management function & Other senior management	33.1	9.7	23.3	9.7
8	Cash-based	8.5	3.2	5.3	3.2
9	Shares or equivalent ownership interests	24.6	6.5	18.0	6.5
13	Other identified staff	12.7	3.5	9.3	3.5
14	Cash-based	4.8	1.4	3.5	1.4
15	Shares or equivalent ownership interests	7.9	2.1	5.8	2.1
19	TOTAL AT 31 DECEMBER 2021	46.2	13.3	32.9	13.3

Table REM4: Remuneration of €1 million or more per year

<i>In euros</i>		Identified staff that are high earners as set out in Article 450-(i) CRR
		31/12/2021
1	1,000,000 to below 3,000,000	8
2	3,000,000 to below 5,000,000	3
3	5,000,000 and above	3

Table REM5: Information on remuneration of staff whose professional activities have a material impact on institutions' risk profile

<i>In millions of euros</i>		MB Supervisory function	Investment banking	Asset management	Corporate functions	Independent internal control functions	All other	Total
1	Total number of identified staff							131
2	<i>of which: members of the MB Supervisory function</i>	16						16
3	<i>of which: members of the MB Management function & Other senior management</i>		4	7	3	1	1	16
4	<i>of which: other identified staff</i>		47	26	11	8	7	99
5	TOTAL AT 31 DECEMBER 2021	2.3	41.3	25.4	8.6	4.1	1.3	83.1
6	<i>of which: variable remuneration</i>	0.6	26.0	13.4	4.6	1.7	0.3	46.7
7	<i>of which: fixed remuneration</i>	1.7	15.3	12.0	4.0	2.4	1.0	36.4

Appendix IV – Attestation statement

I certify, after having taken all reasonable measures to this effect, that the information disclosed in this Pillar 3 Risk Report complies, to the best of my knowledge and belief, with Part 8 of the Regulation (EU) 575/2013 (and its subsequent amendments) and has been established in accordance with the internal control procedures agreed upon at the management body.

Paris, 30 March 2022

Managing Partner – Rothschild & Co Gestion

François Pérol

Appendix V – Index of disclosure requirements

Article	Description of disclosure requirements	Pillar 3 location	Table	Annual report location
431	Scope of disclosures			
431.1	Requirement to publish Pillar 3 disclosures	Pillar 3 report		
431.2	Disclosure of permission granted by competent authorities	Section 4.3		
431.3	Description of policies covering the frequency of disclosures, their verification, comprehensiveness and appropriateness	Section 1.1		
431.3 last paragraph	One or more senior officers of a bank must attest in writing that Pillar 3 disclosures have been prepared in accordance with the Board-agreed internal control processes	Appendix IV		
431.4	Quantitative disclosures must be accompanied by qualitative narrative	Pillar 3 report		
431.5	Explanation of SMEs ratings decision upon request by competent authorities	N/A		
432	Non-material, proprietary or confidential information			
432.1	Institutions may omit information that is not material	N/A		
432.2	Institutions may omit information that is proprietary or confidential	N/A		
432.3	Where 432.2 applies this must be stated in the disclosures, and more general information must be disclosed	N/A		
433	Frequency of disclosure			
433	Description of the Frequency of disclosure	Section 1.2		
433a	Disclosures by large institutions	N/A		
433b	Disclosures by small and non-complex institutions	N/A		
433c	Disclosures by other institutions	Pillar 3 report		
434	Means of disclosure			
434.1	All disclosures in one appropriate medium or provide clear cross-references to the synonymous information in the disclosures	Appendix V		
434.2	Disclosures made under other requirements e.g. accounting can be used to satisfy Pillar 3 requirements	Appendix V		
434a	Uniform disclosure formats	Pillar 3 report		
435	Risk management objectives and policies			
435.1	Disclose information for each separate category of risk:			
435.1.a	The strategies and processes to manage risks	Section 2, 5-10		
435.1.b	Structure and organization of the risk management function	Section 2, 5-10		
435.1.c	Risk reporting and measurement systems	Section 2, 5-10		
435.1.d	Hedging and mitigating risk policies, strategies and processes	Section 2, 5-10		
435.1.e	Declaration of adequacy of risk management arrangements approved by the management body e.g. the Board	Section 2		
435.1.f	Inclusion of a concise risk statement approved by the Board:			
435.1.f.i	Key ratios and figures		KM1	
435.1.f.ii	information on intragroup transactions and transactions with related parties			Section 4 Note 33
435.2	Information on governance arrangements:			
435.2.a	Number of directorships held by Board members			Section 1.4
435.2.b	Recruitment policy for the selection of Board members, their actual knowledge, skills and expertise	N/A		
435.2.c	Policy on diversity of Board membership	N/A		
435.2.d	Existence of Risk Committee, and number of meetings during the year	Section 2		
435.2.e	Description of the information flow on risk to the Board	Section 2		

Appendix V – Index of disclosure requirements

Article	Description of disclosure requirements	Pillar 3 location	Table	Annual report location
436	Scope of application of the requirements			
436.a	Institution to which the requirements of this Regulation applies	Section 1.1		
436.b	Difference of consolidation for accounting and prudential purposes	Section 3.2		Section 4 Note 37
436.c	Balance sheet of the consolidated financial statements	Section 3.2	LI1	
436.d	Main sources of differences between the carrying value in the financial statements and the exposure amount used for regulatory purposes	Section 3.2	LI2	
436.e	Disclosure of exposures if adjusted by prudent value adjustments	N/A		
436.f	Impediments to transfer of own funds between parent and subsidiaries	Section 4.2		
436.g	Capital shortfalls in any subsidiaries outside the scope of consolidation	Section 3.1		
436.h	The circumstance of making use of articles on derogations from: a) Prudential requirements b) Liquidity requirements for individual subsidiaries	Section 3.1		
437	Own funds			
437.a	A full reconciliation of own funds items and the balance sheet in the audited financial statements	Section 4.2	CC1, CC2	
437.b	Description of the main features of own funds instruments	Appendix III	CCA	
437.c	Full terms and conditions of all own funds instruments	Appendix III	CCA	
437.d	Disclosure of the nature and amounts of the following:			
437.d.i	Prudential filters applied on own funds	Section 4.2	CC1	
437.d.ii	Items deducted from own funds	Section 4.2	CC1	
437.d.iii	Items not deducted from own funds	Section 4.2	CC1	
437.e	Description of all restrictions applied to the calculation of own funds	Section 4.2		
437.f	Explanation if certain elements of own funds determined on a basis other than this Regulation	N/A		
437a	Disclosure of own funds and eligible liabilities	Section 4.4		
438	Capital requirements			
438.a	Summary of the approach to assessing adequacy of capital levels	Section 4.3		
438.b	Additional own funds requirements based on the SREP	Section 4.4	KM1	
438.c	Result of ICAAP on demand from authorities	N/A		
438.d	Capital requirements for each risk category	Section 4.4	OV1	
438.e	Disclosure specialised lending exposures	N/A		
438.f	Own funds instruments held in insurance undertakings	N/A		
438.g	Supplementary own funds requirement of the financial conglomerate	N/A		
438.h	Variations in the RWAs that result from the use of internal models	N/A		
439	Exposure to counterparty credit risk			
439.a	Process to assign internal capital and credit limits to CCR exposures	Section 6.2		
439.b	Policies for securing collateral and establishing credit reserves	Section 6.2		
439.c	Management of wrong-way risk exposures	N/A		
439.d	Collateral to be provided in the event of ratings downgrade	Section 6.2		
439.e	Collateral received and posted per type of collateral	Section 6.2		
439.f	Exposure values for derivatives by method	Section 6.2	CCR1	
439.g	Exposure values for SFTs by method	N/A	CCR1	
439.h	Credit valuation adjustment capital charge by method	Section 6.2	CCR2	
439.i	Central counterparties by types of exposures	N/A		
439.j	Credit derivative transactions by product type	N/A		
439.k	Estimate of alpha	N/A	CCR1	
439.l	Exposures by credit quality step	Section 6.2	CCR3	
439.m	Use of OEM or Simplified SA-CCR	N/A		

Article	Description of disclosure requirements	Pillar 3 location	Table	Annual report location
440	Capital buffers			
440.a	Geographical distribution of credit exposures relevant for the calculation of countercyclical capital buffer	Appendix I	CCyB1	
440.b	Amount of the specific countercyclical capital	Section 4.4	CCyB2	
441	Indicators of global systemic importance			
441	Disclosure of the indicators of global systemic importance	N/A		
442	Credit risk adjustments			
442.a	Definitions for accounting purposes of past due and impaired assets			Section 4.3.2.4
442.b	Description of the approaches adopted for calculating specific and general credit risk adjustments	Section 5.4		
442.c	Disclosure of NPE and FBE pre-CRM EAD by exposure class	Section 5.4	CR1, CQ1	
442.d	Disclosure of past due exposure pre-CRM EAD by residual maturity	Section 5.4	CQ3	
442.e	Disclosure of pre-CRM EAD by industry and exposure class	N/A		
442.f	Disclosure of changes in the gross amount of defaulted exposures	Section 5.4	CR1, CR2	
442.g	Breakdown of loans and debt securities by residual maturity	Section 5.4	CR1A	
443	Unencumbered assets			
443	Disclosures of unencumbered assets	Appendix III	AE1, AE2, AE3	
444	Use of ECAIs			
444.a	ECAIs used in the calculation of RWAs and reasons for any changes	Section 5.1		
444.b	Exposure classes associated with each ECAI	Section 5.1		
444.c	Process to transfer credit assessments to non-trading book items	Section 5.1		
444.d	Mapping of external rating to credit quality steps (CQS)	Section 5.1		
444.e	Exposure value pre and post-credit risk mitigation by CQS	Section 5.1	CR5, CCR3	
445	Exposure to market risk			
445	Disclosure of market risk, and, if any, large exposures exceeding limits	Section 8	MR1	
446	Operational risk			
446	Scope of approaches used to calculate operational risk	Section 9	OR1	
447	Disclosure of key metrics			
447.a	Composition of own funds and own funds requirements	Section 1.4	KM1	
447.b	Total RWAS	Section 1.4	KM1	
447.c	Composition of additional own funds	Section 1.4	KM1	
447.d	Combined buffer requirement	Section 1.4	KM1	
447.e	Leverage ratio and the total exposure measure	Section 1.4	KM1	
447.f.i	Average of liquidity coverage ratio over the preceding 12 months	Section 1.4	KM1	
447.f.ii	Average of liquid assets after haircuts over the preceding 12 months	Section 1.4	KM1	
447.f.iii	Average of liquidity outflows and inflows over the preceding 12 months	Section 1.4	KM1	
447.g.i	Net stable funding ratio	Section 1.4	KM1	
447.g.ii	Available stable funding	Section 1.4	KM1	
447.g.iii	Required stable funding	Section 1.4	KM1	
447.h	Components of own funds and eligible liabilities ratios	Section 4.4		
448	Exposure to interest rate risk on positions not included in the trading book (IRRBB)			
448.a	Changes in value of equity under the six supervisory scenarios	N/A		
448.b	Changes in net interest income under the two supervisory scenarios	N/A		
448.c	Description of key modelling and parametric assumptions	N/A		
448.d	Explanation of the significance of the risk measures	N/A		

Appendix V – Index of disclosure requirements

Article	Description of disclosure requirements	Pillar 3 location	Table	Annual report location
448.e.i	Description of the specific risk measures used to evaluate IRRBB	N/A		
448.e.ii	Description of the key modelling and parametric assumptions	N/A		
448.e.iii	Description of the interest rate shock scenarios	N/A		
448.e.iv	Recognition of the effect of hedges	N/A		
448.e.v	Outline of the frequency of evaluation	N/A		
449	Exposure to securitisation positions			
449.a	Objectives in relation to securitisation activity	Section 7		
449.b	Nature of other risks in securitised assets, including liquidity	Section 7		
449.c	Risks in re-securitisation activity	N/A		
449.d	Roles played by the institution in the securitisation process	Section 7		
449.e	List of outside entities involved in each of the securitisation roles	N/A		
449.f	List of affiliated entities involved in each of the securitisation roles	N/A		
449.g	Accounting policies for securitisation activities			Section 4.3.2.7
449.h	ECAls used for securitisations	Section 7		
449.i	Description of the Internal Assessment Approach, if applicable	N/A		
449.j	Carrying amount of securitisation exposures	Section 7	SEC1	
449.k.i	securitisation positions where institutions act as originator or sponsor	Section 7	SEC3	
449.k.ii	securitisation positions where institutions act as investor	Section 7	SEC4	
449.i	Exposures securitised in default	Section 7	SEC5	
449a	Disclosure of environmental, social and governance risks			
449a	Disclose information on ESG risks by large institutions	N/A		
450	Remuneration policy			
450.1.a	Decision-making process used for determining the remuneration policy	Section 11		
450.1.b	Link between pay of the staff and their performance	Section 11		
450.1.c	Most important design characteristics of the remuneration system	Section 11		
450.1.d	Ratios between fixed and variable remuneration	Section 11		
450.1.e	Performance criteria on which the variable remuneration is based	Section 11		
450.1.f	Main parameters and rationale for variable component scheme	Section 11		
450.1.g	Quantitative information on remuneration by business area	Section 11	REM5	
450.1.h.i	Remuneration awarded by fixed and variable remuneration	Section 11	REM1	
450.1.h.ii	Amounts and forms of awarded variable remuneration	Section 11	REM1	
450.1.h.iii	Deferred remuneration awarded for previous performance periods	Section 11	REM3	
450.1.h.iv	Deferred remuneration due to vest	Section 11	REM3	
450.1.h.v	Guaranteed variable remuneration	Section 11	REM2	
450.1.h.vi	Severance payments awarded in previous periods paid out during the financial year	Section 11	REM2	
450.1.h.vii	Severance payments awarded during the financial year	Section 11	REM2	
450.1.i	Number of individuals remunerated €1 million or more	Section 11	REM4	
450.1.j	Remuneration for each member of the management body upon request by competent authority	N/A		
450.1.k	Derogation laid down in Article 94-(3) of Directive 2013/36/EU	N/A		
450.2	For large institutions, remuneration for collective management body	N/A		
451	Leverage			
451.1.a	Description of leverage ratio	Section 4.5	LR2	
451.1.b	Reconciliation between exposure measures and financial statements	Section 4.5	LR1, LR3	
451.1.c	Amount of the derecognized fiduciary items, if applicable	Section 4.5		
451.1.d	Processes used to manage risk of excessive leverage	Section 4.5		
451.1.e	Description of the factors that had an impact on the leverage ratio	Section 4.5		

Article	Description of disclosure requirements	Pillar 3 location	Table	Annual report location
451a	Disclosure of liquidity requirements			
451a.1	Disclosure of liquidity coverage ratio, net stable funding ratio and liquidity risk management	Section 10.1 and 10.2		
451a.2.a	Average of liquidity coverage ratio over the preceding 12 months	Section 10.4	LIQ1	
451a.2.b	Average of liquid assets after haircuts over the preceding 12 months	Section 10.4	LIQ1	
451a.2.c	Average of liquidity outflows and inflows over the preceding 12 months	Section 10.4	LIQ1	
451a.3.a	Net stable funding ratio	Section 10.5	LIQ2	
451a.3.b	Available stable funding	Section 10.5	LIQ2	
451a.3.c	Required stable funding	Section 10.5	LIQ2	
451a.4	Arrangements, systems, processes and strategies put in place to identify, measure, manage and monitor their liquidity risk	Section 10.3		
452	Use of the IRB Approach to credit risk			
452	Use of the IRB Approach to credit risk	N/A		
453	Use of credit risk mitigation techniques			
453.a	Use of on and off-balance sheet netting	Section 5.3		
453.b	Description of how collateral valuation is managed	Section 5.3		
453.c	Description of types of collateral	Section 5.3		
453.d	Main types of guarantor and credit derivative counterparty	Section 5.3		
453.e	Market or credit risk concentrations within risk mitigation exposures	Section 5.3		
453.f	Exposure covered by eligible collateral under standardised approach	Section 5.3	CR3	
453.g	Exposures covered by guarantees or credit derivatives	Section 5.3	CR4	
453.h	Exposure by exposure class pre/post CCF for standardised approach	Section 5.3	CR4	
453.i	RWA by exposure class pre/post CCF for standardised approach	Section 5.3	CR4	
453.j	RWA by exposure class pre/post CRM for standardised approach	Section 5.3		
454	Use of the Advanced Measurement Approaches to operational risk			
454	Description of the use of insurance or other risk transfer mechanisms to mitigate operational risk	Section 9.4	OR1	
455	Use of Internal Market Risk Models			
455	Use of Internal Market Risk Models	N/A		

Appendix VI – Glossary

Term	Definition
B	
Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive (“BRRD”)	A European legislative package issued by the European Commission and adopted by EU member states. This directive introduced a common EU framework for how authorities should intervene to address banks that are failing or are likely to fail. The framework includes early intervention and measures designed to prevent failure and in the event of bank failure for authorities to ensure an orderly resolution.
Basel 3	In December 2010, the Basel Committee issued “Basel 3 rules: a global regulatory framework for more resilient banks and banking systems” and “International framework for liquidity risk measurement, standards and monitoring”. Together, these documents present the Basel Committee’s reforms to strengthen global capital and liquidity rules with the goal of promoting a more resilient banking sector. In June 2011, the Basel Committee issued a revision to the former document setting out the finalised capital treatment for counterparty credit risk in bilateral trades. In December 2017, the Basel 3 reforms package was finalised.
C	
Capital conservation buffer (“CCB”)	A capital buffer implemented in the EU through CRD4 and designed to ensure banks build up capital buffers outside periods of stress that can be drawn down as losses are incurred. Should a bank’s capital levels fall within the capital conservation buffer range, capital distributions will be constrained by the regulators.
Capital Requirements Directive (“CRD4”)	A capital adequacy legislative package adopted by EU member states. The CRD4 package comprises a recast Capital Requirements Directive and a new Capital Requirements Regulation. The package implements the Basel 3 capital proposals together with transitional arrangements for some of its requirements. CRD4 came into force on 1 January 2014.
CET1 ratio	A measure of CET1 capital expressed as a percentage of total risk exposure amount.
Common Equity Tier 1 capital (“CET1”)	The highest quality form of regulatory capital under CRR2 that comprises common shares issued and related share premium, retained earnings and other reserves, less specified regulatory adjustments.
Countercyclical capital buffer (“CCyB”)	A capital buffer implemented in the EU through CRD4, which aims to ensure that capital requirements take account of the macro-financial environment in which banks operate. This will provide the banking sector with additional capital to protect it against potential future losses, when excess credit growth in the financial system as a whole is associated with an increase in system-wide risk.
Counterparty credit risk (“CCR”)	Counterparty credit risk, in both the trading and non-trading books, is the risk that the counterparty to a transaction may default before completing the satisfactory settlement of the transaction.
Credit Conversion Factor (CCF)	The ratio between the currently undrawn amount of a commitment that could be drawn and that would therefore be exposed to default and the currently undrawn amount of the commitment, the extent of the commitment being determined by the authorised limit, unless the unauthorised limit is higher.
Credit risk	The risk of financial loss if a customer or counterparty fails to meet an obligation under a contract. It arises principally from direct lending, trade finance and leasing business, but also from other products such as guarantees, credit derivatives and from holding assets in the form of debt securities.
Credit risk mitigation	A technique to reduce the credit risk associated with an exposure by application of credit risk mitigants, such as collateral, guarantee and credit derivatives.
Credit valuation adjustment (“CVA”)	An adjustment to the valuation of OTC derivative contracts to reflect the creditworthiness of OTC derivative counterparties.
E	
Exposure	A claim, contingent claim or position which carries a risk of financial loss.
Exposure at default (“EAD”)	Under the standardised approach, the amount expected to be outstanding after any credit risk mitigation, if and when the counterparty defaults. Under IRB, the amount outstanding if and when the counterparty defaults. EAD reflects drawn balances as well as allowances for undrawn amounts of commitments and contingent exposures.
F	
Financial guarantee	An undertaking by a party to pay a creditor should a debtor fail to do so.
Funded exposure	A situation where the notional amount of a contract is or has been exchanged.
G	
Gross exposure	Exposure before the impact of provisions, adjustments and risk mitigation techniques.

Term	Definition
H	
Haircut	A discount applied by management when determining the amount at which an asset can be realised. The discount takes into account the method of realisation, including the extent to which an active market for the asset exists. With respect to credit risk mitigation, a downward adjustment to collateral value to reflect any currency or maturity mismatches between the credit risk mitigant and the underlying exposure to which it is being applied. Also, a valuation adjustment to reflect any fall in value between the date the collateral was called and the date of liquidation or enforcement.
I	
Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process (“ICAAP”)	The Group’s own assessment of the levels of capital that it needs to hold through an examination of its risk profile from regulatory and economic capital viewpoints.
ISDA Master agreement	Standardised contract developed by ISDA used as an umbrella contract under which bilateral derivatives contracts are entered into.
L	
Leverage ratio	A measure that is the ratio of Tier 1 capital to total exposures. Total exposures include on-balance sheet items, off-balance sheet items and derivatives, and should generally follow the accounting measure of exposure. This supplementary measure to the risk-based capital requirements is intended to constrain the build-up of excess leverage in the banking sector.
Liquidity coverage ratio (“LCR”)	The ratio of the stock of high quality liquid assets to expected net cash outflows over the following 30 days. High quality liquid assets should be unencumbered, liquid in markets during a time of stress and, ideally, be central bank eligible.
Loan-to-value ratio (“LTV”)	A mathematical calculation that expresses the amount of the loan as a percentage of the value of security. A high LTV indicates that there is less cushion to protect the lender against house price falls or increases in the loan if repayments are not made and interest is added to the outstanding loan balance.
M	
Market risk	The risk that movements in market risk factors, including foreign exchange rates and commodity prices, interest rates, credit spreads and equity prices will reduce income or portfolio values.
Material risk taker (“MRT”)	Individuals identified as MRTs in accordance with the qualitative and quantitative criteria set out in the Regulatory Technical Standard EU 604/2014 and other criteria applied by the Group. This also includes individuals, identified in accordance with any local or sectorial regulatory requirements, to whom certain prescribed remuneration rules apply under the relevant local/sectorial regulations.
Minimum requirement for own funds and eligible liabilities (“MREL”)	Requirements set out in the Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive in the EU for global systemically important banks to have a sufficient amount of eligible liabilities that can be used to absorb losses and recapitalise a bank in resolution. These requirements are intended to facilitate an orderly resolution that minimises any impact on financial stability, ensures the continuity of critical functions and avoids exposing taxpayers to loss.
N	
Net exposure	Initial exposure, net of specific and general provisions in advanced approach and net of specific provisions in the Standardised method.
Net stable funding ratio (“NSFR”)	The ratio of available stable funding to required stable funding over a one-year time horizon, assuming a stressed scenario. Available stable funding would include items such as equity capital, preferred stock with a maturity of over one year and liabilities with an assessed maturity of over one year. The Basel 3 rules require this ratio to be over 100% with effect from 2018. The NSFR is still subject to an observation period and review to address any unintended consequences.
P	
Pillar 1	Pillar 1 sets minimum requirements for capital. It aims to ensure that banking institutions hold sufficient capital to provide a minimum level of coverage for their credit risk, market risk and operational risk.
Pillar 2	Pillar 2 Provides for the supervisory review of institutions’ internal assessments of their overall risks and capital adequacy.
Potential future exposure (PFE)	Potential future credit exposure on derivative contracts calculated according to the mark-to-market approach.

Appendix VI – Glossary

Term	Definition
R	
Revised Capital Requirements Regulation (“CRR2”)	The amending Regulation to the CRD4 package transpose elements of the Basel 3 Reforms into EU legislation. These changes follow a phased implementation from June 2019.
Risk-weighted assets (“RWAs”)	Calculated by assigning a degree of risk expressed as a percentage (risk weight) to an exposure value.
RWA density	RWA density is the ratio of RWAs to exposure value arising from any given exposure, or group of exposures. It is expressed as a percentage.
S	
Standardised approach (“STD”)	In relation to credit risk, a method for calculating credit risk capital requirements using ratings agencies and supervisory risk weights. In relation to operational risk, a method of calculating the operational capital requirement by the application of a supervisory defined percentage charge to the gross income of eight specified business lines.
Securities financing transactions (“SFT”)	SFTs are generally collateralised transactions, whereby cash, securities or commodities are transferred from one counterparty (transferor) to the other counterparty (transferee), and the transferee provides collateral in the form of cash or securities to the transferor so that, if the transferee were to default to return the cash, securities or commodities received, the transferor may liquidate or keep appropriation of the collateral to reduce the resulting loss.
SME supporting factor (“SME SF”)	Exposures (including exposures in default) to small-and-medium enterprises (SMEs) that are classified as retail, corporate or secured by mortgages on immovable property (excluding residential property) classes receive favourable regulatory capital treatment known as SME supporting factor. An entity is considered as eligible for SME support factor if its annual turnover does not exceed €50 million.
Simple, Transparent, and Standardised (STS) securitisation	Securitisations (traditional and synthetic) that comply with the “Simple, Transparent, and Standardised” (STS) criteria set out in Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, as amended by Regulation (EU) 2021/557, receive favourable regulatory capital treatment.
T	
Total loss absorbing capacity (“TLAC”)	Requirements set out by the FSB for global systemically important banks to have a sufficient amount of specific types of liabilities that can be used to absorb losses and recapitalise a bank in resolution. These requirements are intended to facilitate an orderly resolution that minimises any impact on financial stability, ensures the continuity of critical functions and avoids exposing taxpayers to loss. TLAC is implemented in the EU through the Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive as MREL.
Tier 1 capital	A component of regulatory capital, as defined in CRD4, comprising Common Equity Tier 1 and Additional Tier 1. Additional Tier 1 capital includes eligible non-common equity capital securities and any related share premium.
Tier 2 capital	A component of regulatory capital, as defined in CRD4, comprising eligible capital securities and any related share premium.
U	
Unencumbered assets	Assets on our balance sheet that have not been pledged as collateral against an existing liability.



